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## Matroid

Independent set definition of a matroid is perhaps most natural. Note, if $J \in \mathcal{I}$, then $J$ is said to be an independent set.

## Definition 7.2.3 (Matroid)

A set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a Matroid if
(I1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(I2) $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$ (down-closed or subclusive)
(I3) $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|I|=|J|+1$, then there exists $x \in I \backslash J$ such that $J \cup\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Why is (I1) is not redundant given (I2)? Because without (I1) could have a non-matroid where $\mathcal{I}=\{ \}$.

## Matroids - important property

## Proposition 7.2.3

In a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, for any $U \subseteq E(M)$, any two bases of $U$ have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors $U$, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by $U$ have the same size.
- In fact, under (I1),(I2), this condition is equivalent to (I3). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.


## Definition 7.2.4 (Matroid)

A set system $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a Matroid if
(I1') $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ (emptyset containing)
(12') $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$ (down-closed or subclusive)
(I3') $\forall X \subseteq V$, and $I_{1}, I_{2} \in \max \operatorname{Ind}(X)$, we have $\left|I_{1}\right|=\left|I_{2}\right|$ (all maximally independent subsets of $X$ have the same size).

## Partition Matroid

- Let $V$ be our ground set.
- Let $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{\ell}$ be a partition of $V$ into $\ell$ blocks (i.e., disjoint sets). Define a set of subsets of $V$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=\left\{X \subseteq V:\left|X \cap V_{i}\right| \leq k_{i} \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, \ell\right\} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ are fixed "limit" parameters, $k_{i} \geq 0$. Then $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

- Note that a $k$-uniform matroid is a trivial example of a partition matroid with $\ell=1, V_{1}=V$, and $k_{1}=k$.
- Parameters associated with a partition matroid: $\ell$ and $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ although often the $k_{i}$ 's are all the same.
- We'll show that property (I3') in Def ?? holds. First note, for any $X \subseteq V,|X|=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|$ since $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right\}$ is a partition.
- If $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|Y|>|X|$, then there must be at least one $i$ with $\left|Y \cap V_{i}\right|>\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|$. Therefore, adding one element $e \in V_{i} \cap(Y \backslash X)$ to $X$ won't break independence.


## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 7.2.3

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.
(9) Then we have (since $X \subseteq A \cap B, X \subseteq Y$, and $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A)+r(B) & \geq|Y \cap A|+|Y \cap B|  \tag{7.4}\\
& =|Y \cap(A \cap B)|+|Y \cap(A \cup B)|  \tag{7.5}\\
& \geq|X|+|Y|=r(A \cap B)+r(A \cup B) \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

## A matroid is defined from its rank function

## Theorem 7.2.3 (Matroid from rank)

Let $E$ be a set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $A, B \subseteq E$ :
(R1) $\forall A \subseteq E \quad 0 \leq r(A) \leq|A|$ (non-negative cardinality bounded)
(R2) $r(A) \leq r(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(R3) $r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B) \leq r(A)+r(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)

- From above, $r(\emptyset)=0$. Let $v \notin A$, then by monotonicity and submodularity, $r(A) \leq r(A \cup\{v\}) \leq r(A)+r(\{v\})$ which gives only two possible values to $r(A \cup\{v\})$, namely $r(A)$ or $r(A)+1$.
- Hence, unit increment (if $r(A)=k$, then either $r(A \cup\{v\})=k$ or $r(A \cup\{v\})=k+1)$ holds.
- Thus, submodularity, non-negative monotone non-decreasing, and unit increment of rank is necessary and sufficient to define a matroid.
- Can refer to matroid as $(E, r), E$ is ground set, $r$ is rank function.


## Laminar Family and Laminar Matroid

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
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## Laminar Family and Laminar Matroid

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
- A set system $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is called a laminar family if for any two sets $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, at least one of the three sets $A \cap B, A \backslash B$, or $B \backslash A$ is empty.

- Family is laminar $\exists$ no two properly intersecting members: $\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}$, either $A, B$ disjoint ( $A \cap B=\emptyset$ ) or comparable ( $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$ ).
- Suppose we have a laminar family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $V$ and an integer $k_{A}$ for every set $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $(V, \mathcal{I})$ defines a matroid where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=\left\{I \subseteq E:|I \cap A| \leq k_{A} \text { for all } A \in \mathcal{F}\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Exercise: what is the rank function here?



## System of Representatives
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## System of Representatives

- We can view this as a bipartite graph. The groups of $V$ are marked by color tags on the left, and also via right neighbors in the graph.
- Here, $\ell=6$ groups, with $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{6}\right)$

$$
=(\{e, f, h\},\{d, e, g\},\{b, c, e, h\},\{a, b, h\},\{a\},\{a\}) .
$$



- A system of representatives would make sure that there is a representative for each color group. For example,
The representatives $(\{a, c, d, f, h\})$ are shown as colors on the left.
- Here, the set of representatives is not distinct. Why? In fact, due to the red and pink group, a distinct group of representatives is impossible (since there is only one common choice to represent both color groups).
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## Definition 7.4.1 (transversal)

Given a set system $(V, \mathcal{V})$ and index set $I$ for $\mathcal{V}$ as defined above, a set $T \subseteq V$ is a transversal of $\mathcal{V}$ if there is a bijection $\pi: T \leftrightarrow I$ such that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in V_{\pi(x)} \text { for all } x \in T \tag{7.2}
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- Note that due to $\pi: T \leftrightarrow I$ being a bijection, all of $I$ and $T$ are "covered" (so this makes things distinct automatically).
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- Hall's theorem ( $\forall J \subseteq I,|V(J)| \geq|J|)$ as a bipartite graph.
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V(J)=\cup_{j \in J} V_{j} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $|V(J)|: 2^{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is the set cover func. (we know is submodular).

- Moreover, we have

$$
r(x)=|x|
$$

## Theorem 7.5.2 (Rado's theorem (1942))

If $M=(V, r)$ is a matroid on $V$ with rank function $r$, then the family of subsets $\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$ of $V$ has a transversal $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ that is independent in M iff for all $J \subseteq I$
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so $|V(J)|: 2^{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is the set cover func. (we know is submodular).

- Moreover, we have


## Theorem 7.5.2 (Rado's theorem (1942))

If $M=(V, r)$ is a matroid on $V$ with rank function $r$, then the family of subsets $\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$ of $V$ has a transversal $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ that is independent in M iff for all $J \subseteq I$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(V(J)) \geq|J| \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Note, a transversal $T$ independent in $M$ means that $r(T)=|T|$.


## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Theorem 7.5.3 (Polymatroid transversal theorem)
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- Given Theorem 7.5.3, we immediately get Theorem 7.5.1 by taking $f(S)=|S|$ for $S \subseteq V$. In which case, Eq. 7.6 requires the system of representatives to be distinct.
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## Theorem 7.5.3 (Polymatroid transversal theorem)

If $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is a finite family of non-empty subsets of $V$, and $f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is a non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing, and submodular function, then $\mathcal{V}$ has a system of representatives $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that
if and only if
$\min _{J}[f(\gamma(J))-|J|] \geq 0$

$$
f\left(\cup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right) \geq|J| \text { for all } J \subseteq I
$$

$V(\sigma) \geq \bigcup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(V(J)) \geq|J| \text { for all } J \subseteq I \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Given Theorem 7.5.3, we immediately get Theorem 7.5.1 by taking $f(S)=|S|$ for $S \subseteq V$.
- We get Theorem $\overline{7.5} .2$ by taking $f(S)=r(S)$ for $S \subseteq V$, the rank function of the matroid. where, Eq. 7.6 insists the system of representatives is independent in $M$, and hence also distinct.

Submodular Composition with Set-to-Set functions

- Note the condition in Theorem 7.5.3 is $f(V(J)) \geq|J|$ for all $J \subseteq I$, where $f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing and submodular, and $V(J)=\cup_{j \in J} V_{j}$ with $V_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(J): 2^{I} \rightarrow 2^{V} \\
& (f \circ V)(J)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { moncton: }}{A \subseteq B \subseteq I} \\
& V(A) \subseteq V(B)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Note $V(\cdot): 2^{I} \rightarrow 2^{V}$ is a set-to-set function, composable with a submodular function.
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$g$ as given above is submodular.
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\begin{equation*}
\min _{J \subseteq I} g(J) \geq 0 \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- What kind of function is $g$ ?


## Proposition 7.5.4

$g$ as given above is submodular.

- Hence, the condition for existence can be solved by (a special case of) submodular function minimization, or vice verse!


## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## first part proof of Theorem 7.5.3.

- Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ has a system of representatives $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that Eq. 7.6 (i.e., $f\left(\cup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right) \geq|J|$ for all $J \subseteq I$ ) is true.


## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## first part proof of Theorem 7.5.3.

- Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ has a system of representatives $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that Eq. 7.6 (i.e., $f\left(\cup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right) \geq|J|$ for all $J \subseteq I$ ) is true.
- Then since $f$ is monotone, and since $V(J) \supseteq \cup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}$ when $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is a system of representatives, then Eq. 7.7 (i.e., $f(V(J)) \geq|J|$ for all $J \subseteq I)$ immediately follows.


## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Lemma 7.5.5 (contraction lemma)

Suppose Eq. $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (w.l.o.g., say $i=1$ ). Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7.

## Proof.

- When Eq. 7.7 holds, this means that for any subsets $J_{1}, J_{2} \subseteq I \backslash\{1\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { we have that, for } J \in\left\{J_{1}, J_{2}\right\}, \quad f\left(v\left(J_{1} \cup\{1 s)\right) \geq \mid J_{1} \cup\{(1) \mid\right. \\
& \text { and hence }
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{r}
f(V(J \cup\{1\})) \geq|J \cup\{1\}| f\left(v ( J _ { 2 } \cup \{ 1 ) ) \left(7\left|\sigma_{2} \cup\{1)\right|\right.\right. \\
f(7.9) \\
f\left(V_{1} \cup V\left(J_{1}\right)\right) \geq\left|J_{1}\right|+1
\end{array}
$$

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

Lemma 7.5.5 (contraction lemma)
Suppose Eq. $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (w.l.o.g., say $i=1$ ). Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7.

## Proof.

- Suppose, to the contrary, the consequent is false. Then we may take any $\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2} \in V_{1}$ as two distinct elements in $V_{1} \ldots$


## More general conditions for existence of transversal

## Lemma 7.5.5 (contraction lemma)

Suppose Eq. $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (w.l.o.g., say $i=1$ ). Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7.

$$
|J|=|J|\left\{i s \quad \cup \left\{i s | = | J _ { 1 } v \left(\langle s|=\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|\varepsilon(s)=\left|J_{1}\right|+1\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

## Proof.

- Suppose, to the contrary, the consequent is false. Then we may take any $\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2} \in V_{1}$ as two distinct elements in $V_{1} \ldots$ index $i_{i}$
- ... and there must exist subsets $J_{1}$, $J_{2}$ of $I \backslash\{1\}$ such that

$$
\left.f\left(\left(V_{1} \backslash\left\{\bar{v}_{1}\right\}\right) \cup V\left(J_{1}\right)\right)<\left|J_{1}\right|+1,\right\rangle_{\text {sin. }}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=J_{2} \cup\{1\rangle \quad \frac{f\left(V_{1} \backslash\left\{\bar{v}_{2}\right\}\right) \cup V\left(J_{2}\right)}{y}<\left|J_{2}\right|+1 \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that either one or both of $J_{1}, J_{2}$ could be empty).

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Lemma 7.5.5 (contraction lemma)

Suppose Eq. $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (w.l.o.g., say $i=1$ ). Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7. $x \cap Y=\left[\left(v_{1}-\bar{v}_{1}\right) \cup \vee\left(\bar{v}_{1}\right)\right] \cap\left[\left(v_{2}-\bar{v}_{2}\right) \cup \vee\left(J_{2}\right)\right]$

## Proof. $2 V\left(J_{1}\right) \cap V\left(\sigma_{2}\right) 2 v\left(\sigma_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)$

- Taking $X=\left(V_{1} \backslash\left\{\bar{v}_{1}\right\}\right) \cup V\left(J_{1}\right)$ and $Y=\left(V_{1} \backslash\left\{\bar{v}_{2}\right\}\right) \cup V\left(J_{2}\right)$, we have $f(X) \leq\left|J_{1}\right|, f(Y) \leq\left|J_{2}\right|$, and that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \cup Y=V_{1} \cup V\left(J_{1} \cup J_{2}\right) \\
& X \cap Y \supseteq V\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right) \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

(7.14)
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|J_{2}\right| & \geq f(X)+f(Y) \\
& \geq f(X \cup Y)+f(X \cap Y) \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Lemma 7.5.5 (contraction lemma)

Suppose Eq. $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$ (w.l.o.g., say $i=1$ ). Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7.

## Proof.

- since $f$ submodular monotone non-decreasing, \& Eqs 7.14-7.16,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|J_{2}\right| \geq f\left(V_{1} \cup V\left(J_{1} \cup J_{2}\right)\right)+f\left(V\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Lemma 7．5．5（contraction lemma）

Suppose Eq． $7.7(f(V(J)) \geq|J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$ is true for $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$ ，and there exists an $i$ such that $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$（w．l．o．g．，say $i=1$ ）．Then there exists $\bar{v} \in V_{1}$ such that the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7．7．

## Proof．

## v（Jいすこしそに

－since $f$ submodular moriotone non－decreasing，\＆Eqs 7．14－7．16，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|J_{2}\right| \geq f\left(V_{1} \cup V\left(J_{1} \cup J_{2}\right)\right)+f\left(V\left(J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right)\right) \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

－Since $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies Eq．7．7， $1 \notin J_{1} \cup J_{2}$ ，\＆Eqs 7．10－7．11，this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{1}\right|+\left|J_{2}\right| \geq\left|J_{1} \cup J_{2}\right|+1+\left|J_{1} \cap J_{2}\right| \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction since cardinality is modular．

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## Theorem 7.5.3 (Polymatroid transversal theorem)

If $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is a finite family of non-empty subsets of $V$, and $f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is a non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing, and submodular function, then $\mathcal{V}$ has a system of representatives $\left(v_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\cup_{i \in J}\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right) \geq|J| \text { for all } J \subseteq I \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(V(J)) \geq|J| \text { for all } J \subseteq I \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Given Theorem 7.5.3, we immediately get Theorem 7.5.1 by taking $f(S)=|S|$ for $S \subseteq V$.
- We get Theorem 7.5.2 by taking $f(S)=r(S)$ for $S \subseteq V$, the rank function of the matroid.
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## More general conditions for existence of transversals

## converse proof of Theorem 7.5.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 7.7 is true.
- If each $V_{i}$ is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.
- W.I.o.g., let $\left|V_{1}\right| \geq 2$, then by Lemma 7.5.5, the family of subsets $\left(V_{1} \backslash\{\bar{v}\}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{|I|}\right)$ also satisfies Eq 7.7 for the right $\bar{v}$.
- We can continue to reduce the family, deleting elements from $V_{i}$ for some $i$ while $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2$, until we arrive at a family of singleton sets.
- This family will be the required system of representatives.

This theorem can be used to produce a variety of other results quite easily, and shows how submodularity is the key ingredient in its truth.

## Transversal Matroid

Transversals, themselves, define a matroid.

## Theorem 7.6.1

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a family of finite subsets of a ground set $V$, then the collection of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of independent sets of a matroid $M=(V, \mathcal{V})$ on $V$.
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## Transversal Matroid

Transversals, themselves, define a matroid.

## Theorem 7.6.1

If $\mathcal{V}$ is a family of finite subsets of a ground set $V$, then the collection of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of independent sets of a matroid $M=(V, \mathcal{V})$ on $V$.

- This means that the transversals of $\mathcal{V}$ are the bases of matroid $M$.
- Therefore, all maximal partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$ have the same cardinality!
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- Given a set system $(V, \mathcal{V})$, with $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}: i \in I\right)$, we can define a bipartite graph $G=(V, I, E)$ associated with $\mathcal{V}$ that has edge set $\left\{(v, i): v \in V, i \in I, v \in V_{i}\right\}$.
- A matching in this graph is a set of edges no two of which that have a common endpoint. In fact, we easily have:


## Lemma 7.6.2

A subset $T \subseteq V$ is a partial transversal of $\mathcal{V}$ iff there is a matching in ( $V, I, E$ ) in which every edge has one endpoint in $T$ ( $T$ matched into $I$ ).
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- Are arbitrary matchings matroids?
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## Arbitrary Matchings and Matroids?

- Are arbitrary matchings matroids?
- Consider the following graph (left), and two max-matchings (two right instances)

- $\{A C\}$ is a maximum matching, as is $\{A D, B C\}$, but they are not the same size.
- Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of matchings in an arbitrary graph $G=(V, E)$. Hence, $(E, \mathcal{M})$ is a set system. I1 holds since $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}$. I2 also holds since if $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is a matching, then so is any $M^{\prime} \subseteq M$. I3 doesn't hold (as seen above). Exercise: fully characterize the problem of finding the largest subset $\mathcal{M}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{M}$ of matchings so that $\left(E, \mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)$ also satisfies I3?


## Review from Lecture 7

The next frame comes from lecture 7 .

## Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- Example where $\ell=5$,
$\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{5}\right)=$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3).

- Recall, $\Gamma: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the neighbor function in a bipartite graph, the neighbors of $X$ is defined as $\Gamma(X)=$ $\{v \in V(G) \backslash X: E(X,\{v\}) \neq \emptyset\}$, and recall that $|\Gamma(X)|$ is submodular.
- Here, for $X \subseteq V$, we have $\Gamma(X)=$ $\{i \in I:(v, i) \in E(G)$ and $v \in X\}$.
- For such a constructed bipartite graph, the rank function of a partition matroid is $r(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min \left(\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|, k_{i}\right)=$ the maximum matching involving $X$.


## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, $k_{i}=\left|I_{i}\right|$ in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq k_{i}$ (also, recall, $\left.V(J)=\cup_{j \in J} V_{j}\right)$.
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## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, $k_{i}=\left|I_{i}\right|$ in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq k_{i}$ (also, recall, $\left.V(J)=\cup_{j \in J} V_{j}\right)$.
- Start with partition matroid rank function in the subsequent equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(A)=\sum_{i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \min \left(\left|A \cap V_{i}\right|, k_{i}\right)  \tag{7.19}\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min \left(\left|A \cap V\left(I_{i}\right)\right|,\left|I_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.20}\\
&=\sum_{i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \min _{J_{i} \in\left\{\emptyset, I_{i}\right\}}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left|A \cap V\left(I_{i}\right)\right| & \text { if } J_{i} \neq \emptyset \\
0 & \text { if } J_{i}=\emptyset
\end{array}\right\}+\left|I_{i} \backslash J_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.21}\\
&=\sum_{i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \min _{J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}}\left(\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left|A \cap V\left(I_{i}\right)\right| & \text { if } J_{i} \neq \emptyset \\
0 & \text { if } J_{i}=\emptyset
\end{array}\right\}+\left|I_{i} \backslash J_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.22}\\
&=\sum_{i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}} \min _{J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}}\left(\left|V\left(I_{i}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(J_{i}\right)\right| \quad \forall \phi\left|+\left|I_{i} \backslash J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}\right|\right)\right.  \tag{7.23}\\
&\left|J_{i}\right|-\zeta \quad\left|J_{i}\right|=\zeta
\end{align*}
$$

## ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Continuing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min _{J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}}\left(\left|V\left(J_{i}\right) \cap V\left(I_{i}\right) \cap A\right|-\left|I_{i} \cap J_{i}\right|+\left|I_{i}\right|\right) \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
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## ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Continuing,

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min _{J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}}\left(\left|V\left(J_{i}\right) \cap V\left(I_{i}\right) \cap A\right|-\left|I_{i} \cap J_{i}\right|+\left|I_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.24}\\
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& =\min _{J \subseteq I}(|V(J) \cap A|-|J|+|I|)  \tag{7.27}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq 工} m_{J}(A)
\end{align*}
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## ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Continuing,

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min _{J_{i} \subseteq I_{i}}\left(\left|V\left(J_{i}\right) \cap V\left(I_{i}\right) \cap A\right|-\left|I_{i} \cap J_{i}\right|+\left|I_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.24}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq I}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|V(J) \cap V\left(I_{i}\right) \cap A\right|-\left|I_{i} \cap J\right|+\left|I_{i}\right|\right)  \tag{7.25}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq I}(|V(J) \cap V(I) \cap A|-|J|+|I|)  \tag{7.26}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq I}(|V(J) \cap A|-|J|+|I|) \tag{7.27}
\end{align*}
$$

- In fact, this bottom (more general) expression is the expression for the rank of a transversal matroid.


## Partial Transversals Are Independent Sets in a Matroid

In fact, we have

## Theorem 7.6.3

Let $(V, \mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right)$ be a subset system. Let $I=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

## Proof.
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## Theorem 7.6.3

Let $(V, \mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right)$ be a subset system. Let
$I=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

## Proof.

- We note that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of $\mathcal{V}$, thus (I1') holds.
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Let $(V, \mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right)$ be a subset system. Let
$I=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

## Proof.

- We note that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of $\mathcal{V}$, thus ( $11^{\prime}$ ) holds.
- We already saw that if $T$ is a partial transversal of $\mathcal{V}$, and if $T^{\prime} \subseteq T$, then $T^{\prime}$ is also a partial transversal. So (I2') holds.


## Partial Transversals Are Independent Sets in a Matroid

In fact, we have

## Theorem 7.6.3

Let $(V, \mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right)$ be a subset system. Let
$I=\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$. Then $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

## Proof.

- We note that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of $\mathcal{V}$, thus ( $11^{\prime}$ ) holds.
- We already saw that if $T$ is a partial transversal of $\mathcal{V}$, and if $T^{\prime} \subseteq T$, then $T^{\prime}$ is also a partial transversal. So (I2') holds.
- Suppose that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are partial transversals of $\mathcal{V}$ such that $\left|T_{1}\right|<\left|T_{2}\right|$. Exercise: show that (I3') holds.


## Transversal Matroid Rank

- Transversal matroid has rank

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A) & =\min _{J \subseteq I}(|V(J) \cap A|-|J|+|I|)  \tag{7.28}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq I} m_{J}(I) \tag{7.29}
\end{align*}
$$
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- Note that it is a minimum over a set of modular functions in $I$. Is this true in general?
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## Transversal Matroid Rank

- Transversal matroid has rank

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A) & =\min _{J \subseteq I}(|V(J) \cap A|-|J|+|I|)  \tag{7.28}\\
& =\min _{J \subseteq I} m_{J}(I) \tag{7.29}
\end{align*}
$$

- Therefore, this function is submodular.
- Note that it is a minimum over a set of modular functions in $I$. Is this true in general? Exercise:
- Exercise: Can you identify a set of sufficient properties over a set of modular functions $m_{i}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$so that $f(A)=\min _{i} m_{i}(A)$ is submodular? Can you identify both necessary and sufficient conditions?


## Matroid loops

- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if $r(A)<|A|$ and for any $a \in A, r(A \backslash\{a\})=|A|-1)$.
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- There is no reason in a matroid such an $A$ could not consist of a single element.
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- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if $r(A)<|A|$ and for any $a \in A, r(A \backslash\{a\})=|A|-1)$.
- There is no reason in a matroid such an $A$ could not consist of a single element.
- Such an $\{a\}$ is called a loop.
- In a matric (i.e., linear) matroid, the only such loop is the value $\mathbf{0}$, as all non-zero vectors have rank 1 . The $\mathbf{0}$ can appear $>1$ time with different indices, as can a self loop in a graph appear on different nodes.


## Matroid loops

## 7

- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (ie., if $r(A)<|A|$ and for any $a \in A, r(A \backslash\{a\})=|A|-1)$.
- There is no reason in a matroid such an $A$ could not consist of a single element.
- Such an $\{a\}$ is called a loop.
- In a mantric (ie., linear) matroid, the only such loop is the value $\mathbf{0}$, as all non-zero vectors have rank 1 . The $\mathbf{0}$ can appear $>1$ time with different indices, as can a self loop in a graph appear on different nodes.
- Note, we also say that two elements $s, t$ are said to be parallel if $\{s, t\}$ is a circuit.


$$
x, \quad \alpha \cdot x
$$

## Representable

## Definition 7.7.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ respectively on ground sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection $\pi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).
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- Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field (such as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$, or some finite field $\mathbb{F}$, such as a Galois field $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ where $p$ is prime (such as $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ ), but not $\mathbb{Z}$. Succinctly: A field is a set with,$+ *$, closure, associativity, commutativity, and additive and multiplicative identities and inverses.
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Two matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ respectively on ground sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection $\pi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

- Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field (such as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$, or some finite field $\mathbb{F}$, such as a Galois field $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ where $p$ is prime (such as $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ ), but not $\mathbb{Z}$. Succinctly: A field is a set with,$+ *$, closure, associativity, commutativity, and additive and multiplicative identities and inverses.
- We can more generally define matroids on a field.


## Definition 7.7.2 (linear matroids on a field)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an $n \times m$ matrix and $E=\{1, \ldots, m\}$, where $\mathbf{X}_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}$ for some field, and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of subsets of $E$ such that the columns of $\mathbf{X}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$.

## Representable

## Definition 7.7.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ respectively on ground sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection $\pi: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

- Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field (such as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$, or some finite field $\mathbb{F}$, such as a Galois field $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ where $p$ is prime (such as $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ ), but not $\mathbb{Z}$. Succinctly: A field is a set with,$+ *$, closure, associativity, commutativity, and additive and multiplicative identities and inverses.
- We can more generally define matroids on a field.


## Definition 7.7.3 (representable (as a linear matroid))

Any matroid isomorphic to a linear matroid on a field is called representable over $\mathbb{F}$

## Representability of Transversal Matroids

- Piff and Welsh in 1970, and Adkin in 1972 proved an important theorem about representability of transversal matroids.


## Representability of Transversal Matroids

- Piff and Welsh in 1970, and Adkin in 1972 proved an important theorem about representability of transversal matroids.
- In particular:


## Theorem 7.7.4

Transversal matroids are representable over all finite fields of sufficiently large cardinality, and are representable over any infinite field.

## Converse: Representability of Transversal Matroids

The converse is not true, however.

## Example 7.7.5

Let $V=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ be a ground set and let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a set system where $\mathcal{I}$ is all subsets of $V$ of cardinality $\leq 2$ except for the pairs $\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{5,6\}$.
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- It can be shown that this is a matroid and is representable.


## Converse: Representability of Transversal Matroids

The converse is not true, however.

## Example 7.7.5

Let $V=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$ be a ground set and let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a set system where $\mathcal{I}$ is all subsets of $V$ of cardinality $\leq 2$ except for the pairs $\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{5,6\}$.

- It can be shown that this is a matroid and is representable.
- However, this matroid is not isomorphic to any transversal matroid.


## Review from Lecture 6

The next frame comes from lecture 6 .

## Matroids, other definitions using matroid rank $r: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$

## Definition 7.8.3 (closed/flat/subspace)

A subset $A \subseteq E$ is closed (equivalently, a flat or a subspace) of matroid $M$ if for all $x \in E \backslash A, r(A \cup\{x\})=r(A)+1$.

Definition: A hyperplane is a flat of $\operatorname{rank} r(M)-1$.

## Definition 7.8.4 (closure)

Given $A \subseteq E$, the closure (or span) of $A$, is defined by $\operatorname{span}(A)=\{b \in E: r(A \cup\{b\})=r(A)\}$.

Therefore, a closed set $A$ has $\operatorname{span}(A)=A$.

## Definition 7.8.5 (circuit)

A subset $A \subseteq E$ is circuit or a cycle if it is an inclusionwise-minimal dependent set (i.e., if $r(A)<|A|$ and for any $\overline{a \in A, r(A \backslash\{a\})=\mid} A \mid-1$ ).

## Spanning Sets

- We have the following definitions:
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## Definition 7.8.1 (spanning set of a set)
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## Definition 7.8.1 (spanning set of a set)

Given a matroid $\mathcal{M}=(V, \mathcal{I})$, and a set $Y \subseteq V$, then any set $X \subseteq Y$ such that $r(X)=r(Y)$ is called a spanning set of $Y$.

## Definition 7.8 .2 (spanning set of a matroid)

Given a matroid $\mathcal{M}=(V, \mathcal{I})$, any set $A \subseteq V$ such that $r(A)=r(V)$ is called a spanning set of the matroid.

- A base of a matroid is a minimal spanning set (and it is independent) but supersets of a base are also spanning.
- $V$ is always trivially spanning.
- Consider the terminology: "spanning tree in a graph", comes from spanning in a matroid sense.
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$$
\begin{equation*}
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- Dual of the dual: Note, we have that $\left(M^{*}\right)^{*}=M$.
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## Theorem 7.8.3 (Dual matroid bases)

Let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid and $\mathcal{B}(M)$ be the set of bases of $M$. Then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{*}(M)=\{V \backslash B: B \in \mathcal{B}(M)\} . \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{B}^{*}(M)$ is the set of basis of $M^{*}$ (that is, $\mathcal{B}^{*}(M)=\mathcal{B}\left(M^{*}\right)$.
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## Proposition 7.8.4 (from Oxley 2011)

Let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid, and let $X \subseteq V$. Then
(1) $X$ is independent in $M$ iff $V \backslash X$ is cospanning in $M$ (spanning in $M^{*}$ ).
(2) $X$ is spanning in $M$ iff $V \backslash X$ is coindependent in $M$ (independent in $\left.M^{*}\right)$.
(3) $X$ is a hyperplane in $M$ iff $V \backslash X$ is a cocircuit in $M$ (circuit in $M^{*}$ ).
(9) $X$ is a circuit in $M$ iff $V \backslash X$ is a cohyperplane in $M$ (hyperplane in $M^{*}$ ).
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- A cocycle (cocircuit) in a graphic matroid is a minimal graph cut.
- A mincut is a circuit in the dual "cocycle" (or "cut") matroid.
- All dependent sets in a cocycle matroid are cuts (i.e., a dependent set is a minimal cut or contains one).
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Cocycle matroid, independent sets contain no cuts.


