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## Composition of non-decreasing submodular and non-decreasing concave

## Theorem 6.2.1

Given two functions, one defined on sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and another continuous valued one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the composition formed as $h=\phi \circ f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (defined as $h(S)=\phi(f(S))$ ) is nondecreasing submodular, if $\phi$ is non-decreasing concave and $f$ is nondecreasing submodular.

## Monotone difference of two functions

Let $f$ and $g$ both be submodular functions on subsets of $V$ and let $(f-g)(\cdot)$ be either monotone non-decreasing or monotone non-increasing Then $h: 2^{V} \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(A)=\min (f(A), g(A)) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is submodular.

## Proof.

If $h(A)$ agrees with $f$ on both $X$ and $Y$ (or $g$ on both $X$ and $Y$ ), and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X)+h(Y)=f(X)+f(Y) \geq f(X \cup Y)+f(X \cap Y) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X)+h(Y)=g(X)+g(Y) \geq g(X \cup Y)+g(X \cap Y) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the result (Equation ?? being submodular) follows since

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(X)+f(Y)  \tag{6.4}\\
& g(X)+g(Y)
\end{align*} \min (f(X \cup Y), g(X \cup Y))+\min (f(X \cap Y), g(X \cap Y))
$$

## Arbitrary functions: difference between submodular funcs.

## Theorem 6.2.1

Given an arbitrary set function $h$, it can be expressed as a difference between two submodular functions (i.e., $\forall h \in 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\exists f, g$ s.t. $\forall A, h(A)=f(A)-g(A)$ where both $f$ and $g$ are submodular).

## Proof.

Let $h$ be given and arbitrary, and define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \triangleq \min _{X, Y: X \nsubseteq Y, Y \nsubseteq X}(h(X)+h(Y)-h(X \cup Y)-h(X \cap Y)) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha \geq 0$ then $h$ is submodular, so by assumption $\alpha<0$. Now let $f$ be an arbitrary strict submodular function and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \triangleq \min _{X, Y: X \nsubseteq Y, Y \nsubseteq X}(f(X)+f(Y)-f(X \cup Y)-f(X \cap Y)) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Strict means that $\beta>0$.

## Many (Equivalent) Definitions of Submodularity

$$
\begin{align*}
f(A)+f(B) & \geq f(A \cup B)+f(A \cap B), \forall A, B \subseteq V  \tag{6.16}\\
f(j \mid S) & \geq f(j \mid T), \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V, \text { with } j \in V \backslash T  \tag{6.17}\\
f(C \mid S) & \geq f(C \mid T), \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V, \text { with } C \subseteq V \backslash T  \tag{6.18}\\
f(j \mid S) & \geq f(j \mid S \cup\{k\}), \forall S \subseteq V \text { with } j \in V \backslash(S \cup\{k\})  \tag{6.19}\\
f(A \cup B \mid A \cap B) & \leq f(A \mid A \cap B)+f(B \mid A \cap B), \forall A, B \subseteq V  \tag{6.20}\\
f(T) \leq f(S) & +\sum_{j \in T \backslash S} f(j \mid S)-\sum_{j \in S \backslash T} f(j \mid S \cup T-\{j\}), \forall S, T \subseteq V  \tag{6.21}\\
f(T) & \leq f(S)+\sum_{j \in T \backslash S} f(j \mid S), \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V  \tag{6.22}\\
f(T) & \leq f(S)-\sum_{j \in S \backslash T} f(j \mid S \backslash\{j\})+\sum_{j \in T \backslash S} f(j \mid S \cap T) \forall S, T \subseteq V  \tag{6.23}\\
f(T) & \leq f(S)-\sum_{j \in S \backslash T} f(j \mid S \backslash\{j\}), \forall T \subseteq S \subseteq V \tag{6.24}
\end{align*}
$$

## On Rank

- Let rank: $2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be the rank function.
- In general, $\operatorname{rank}(A) \leq|A|$, and vectors in $A$ are linearly independent if and only if $\operatorname{rank}(A)=|A|$.
- If $A, B$ are such that $\operatorname{rank}(A)=|A|$ and $\operatorname{rank}(B)=|B|$, with $|A|<|B|$, then the space spanned by $B$ is greater, and we can find a vector in $B$ that is linearly independent of the space spanned by vectors in $A$.
- To stress this point, note that the above condition is $|A|<|B|$, not $A \subseteq B$ which is sufficient (to be able to find an independent vector) but not required.
- In other words, given $A, B$ with $\operatorname{rank}(A)=|A| \& \operatorname{rank}(B)=|B|$, then $|A|<|B| \Leftrightarrow \exists$ an $b \in B$ such that $\operatorname{rank}(A \cup\{b\})=|A|+1$.


## Spanning trees/forests \& incidence matrices

- A directed version of the graph (right) and its adjacency matrix (below).
- Orientation can be arbitrary.
- Note, rank of this matrix is 7 .

1
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8 $\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right)$


## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.


## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
- Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set of all subsets of $V$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the vectors indexed by $I$ are linearly independent.


## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
- Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set of all subsets of $V$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the vectors indexed by $I$ are linearly independent.
- Given a set $B \in \mathcal{I}$ of linearly independent vectors, then any subset $A \subseteq B$ is also linearly independent.


## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
- Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set of all subsets of $V$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the vectors indexed by $I$ are linearly independent.
- Given a set $B \in \mathcal{I}$ of linearly independent vectors, then any subset $A \subseteq B$ is also linearly independent. Hence, $\mathcal{I}$ is down-closed or "subclusive", under subsets.


## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
- Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set of all subsets of $V$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the vectors indexed by $I$ are linearly independent.
- Given a set $B \in \mathcal{I}$ of linearly independent vectors, then any subset $A \subseteq B$ is also linearly independent. Hence, $\mathcal{I}$ is down-closed or "subclusive", under subsets. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \subseteq B \text { and } B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{I} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So $V$ is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
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- maxInd: Inclusionwise maximal independent subsets (i.e., the set of bases of) of any set $B \subseteq V$ defined as:
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A \subseteq B \text { and } B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{I} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
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- maxInd: Inclusionwise maximal independent subsets (i.e., the set of bases of) of any set $B \subseteq V$ defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \operatorname{Ind}(B) \triangleq\{A \subseteq B: A \in \mathcal{I} \text { and } \forall v \in B \backslash A, A \cup\{v\} \notin \mathcal{I}\} \tag{6.2}
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$$

- Given any set $B \subset V$ of vectors, all maximal (by set inclusion) subsets of linearly independent vectors are the same size. That is, for all $B \subseteq V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall A_{1}, A_{2} \in \max \operatorname{lnd}(B), \quad\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=\operatorname{rank}(B) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
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## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be the set of sets as described above.
- Thus, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the matrix rank function has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(I)=|I| \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $B \notin \mathcal{I}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(B)=\max \{|A|: A \subseteq B \text { and } A \in \mathcal{I}\}<|B| \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all maximally independent subsets of a set are the same size, the rank function is well defined.

## Matroid

- Matroids abstract the notion of linear independence of a set of vectors to general algebraic properties.
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## Matroid

- Matroids abstract the notion of linear independence of a set of vectors to general algebraic properties.
- In a matroid, there is an underlying ground set, say $E$ (or $V$ ), and a collection of subsets $\mathcal{I}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $E$ that correspond to independent elements.
- There are many definitions of matroids that are mathematically equivalent, we'll see some of them here.
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## Definition 6.3.1 (set system)

A (finite) ground set $E$ and a set of subsets of $E, \emptyset \neq \mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{E}$ is called a set system, notated $(E, \mathcal{I})$.

- Set systems can be arbitrarily complex since, as stated, there is no systematic method (besides exponential-cost exhaustive search) to determine if a given set $S \subseteq E$ has $S \in \mathcal{I}$.
- One useful property is "heredity." Namely, a set system is a hereditary set system if for any $A \subset B \in \mathcal{I}$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{I}$.
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## Independence System

## Definition 6.3.2 (independence (or hereditary) system)

A set system $(V, \mathcal{I})$ is an independence system if

$$
\emptyset \in \mathcal{I} \quad \text { (emptyset containing) }
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I} \quad \text { (subclusive) } \tag{I2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Property (I2) called "down monotone," "down closed," or "subclusive"
- Example: $E=\{1,2,3,4\}$. With $\mathcal{I}=\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{1,2\},\{1,2,4\}\}$.
- Then $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a set system, but not an independence system since it is not down closed (e.g., we have $\{1,2\} \in \mathcal{I}$ but not $\{2\} \in \mathcal{I}$ ).
- With $\mathcal{I}=\{\emptyset,\{1\},\{2\},\{1,2\}\}$, then $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is now an independence (hereditary) system.


## Independence System

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1  \tag{6.6}\\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 5
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{6} & x_{7} & x_{8} \\
\mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid & \mid
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Given any set of linearly independent vectors $A$, any subset $B \subset A$ will also be linearly independent.
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- Given any forest $G_{f}$ that is an edge-induced sub-graph of a graph $G$, any sub-graph of $G_{f}$ is also a forest.


## Independence System



- Given any set of linearly independent vectors $A$, any subset $B \subset A$ will also be linearly independent.
- Given any forest $G_{f}$ that is an edge-induced sub-graph of a graph $G$, any sub-graph of $G_{f}$ is also a forest.
- So these both constitute independence systems.


## Matroid

Independent set definition of a matroid is perhaps most natural. Note, if $J \in \mathcal{I}$, then $J$ is said to be an independent set.

## Definition 6.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a Matroid if
(I1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(I2) $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$ (down-closed or subclusive)
(I3) $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|I|=|J|+1$, then there exists $x \in I \backslash J$ such that $J \cup\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Why is (I1) is not redundant given (I2)?

## Matroid

Independent set definition of a matroid is perhaps most natural. Note, if $J \in \mathcal{I}$, then $J$ is said to be an independent set.

## Definition 6.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a Matroid if
(I1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(12) $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$ (down-closed or subclusive)
(I3) $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|I|=|J|+1$, then there exists $x \in I \backslash J$ such that $J \cup\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Why is (I1) is not redundant given (I2)? Because without (I1) could have a non-matroid where $\mathcal{I}=\{ \}$.
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## On Matroids

- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.
- The rank function of a matroid is already a very powerful submodular function (perhaps all we need for many problems).
- Understanding matroids crucial for understanding submodularity.
- Matroid independent sets (i.e., $A$ s.t. $r(A)=|A|$ ) are useful constraint set, and fast algorithms for submodular optimization subject to one (or more) matroid independence constraints exist.
- Crapo \& Rota preferred the term "combinatorial geometry", or more specifically a "pregeometry" and said that pregeometries are "often described by the ineffably cacaphonic [sic] term 'matroid', which we prefer to avoid in favor of the term 'pregeometry'."'


## Matroid

Slight modification (non unit increment) that is equivalent.

## Definition 6.3.4 (Matroid-II)

A set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a Matroid if
(I1') $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(I2') $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$ (down-closed or subclusive)
(I3') $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|I|>|J|$, then there exists $x \in I \backslash J$ such that $J \cup\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$

Note $(I 1)=\left(I 1^{\prime}\right),(I 2)=\left(I 2^{\prime}\right)$, and we get $(I 3) \equiv\left(I 3^{\prime}\right)$ using induction.

## Matroids, independent sets, and bases

- Independent sets: Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is called independent if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and otherwise $A$ is called dependent.
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## Matroids, independent sets, and bases

- Independent sets: Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is called independent if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and otherwise $A$ is called dependent.
- A base of $U \subseteq E$ : For $U \subseteq E$, a subset $B \subseteq U$ is called a base of $U$ if $B$ is inclusionwise maximally independent subset of $U$. That is, $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and there is no $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ with $B \subset Z \subseteq U$.
- A base of a matroid: If $U=E$, then a "base of $E$ " is just called a base of the matroid $M$ (this corresponds to a basis in a linear space, or a spanning forest in a graph, or a spanning tree in a connected graph).
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The rank function of a matroid is a function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(A)=\max \{|X|: X \subseteq A, X \in \mathcal{I}\}=\max _{X \in \mathcal{I}}|A \cap X| \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

- From the above, we immediately see that $r(A) \leq|A|$.
- Moreover, if $r(A)=|A|$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$, meaning $A$ is independent (in this case, $A$ is a self base).
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A subset $A \subseteq E$ is closed (equivalently, a flat or a subspace) of matroid $M$ if for all $x \in E \backslash A, r(A \cup\{x\})=r(A)+1$.

Definition: A hyperplane is a flat of $\operatorname{rank} r(M)-1$.

## Definition 6.3.9 (closure)

Given $A \subseteq E$, the closure (or span) of $A$, is defined by
$\operatorname{span}(A)=\{b \in E: r(A \cup\{b\})=r(A)\}$.
Therefore, a closed set $A$ has $\operatorname{span}(A)=A$.

## Definition 6.3.10 (circuit)

A subset $A \subseteq E$ is circuit or a cycle if it is an inclusionwise-minimal dependent set (i.e., if $r(A)<|A|$ and for any $\overline{a \in A, r(A \backslash\{a\})=\mid} A \mid-1$ ).

## Matroids by bases

In general, besides independent sets and rank functions, there are other equivalent ways to characterize matroids.

## Theorem 6.3.11 (Matroid (by bases))

Let $E$ be a set and $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonempty collection of subsets of $E$. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of bases of a matroid;
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Properties 2 and 3 are called "exchange properties."
Proof here is omitted but think about this for a moment in terms of linear spaces and matrices, and (alternatively) spanning trees.

## Matroids by circuits

A set is independent if and only if it contains no circuit. Therefore, it is not surprising that circuits can also characterize a matroid.

## Theorem 6.3.12 (Matroid by circuits)
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(2) (C2): if $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$, then $C_{1}=C_{2}$.
(3) (C3): if $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $C_{1} \neq C_{2}$, and $e \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$, then there exists a $C_{3} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C_{3} \subseteq\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right) \backslash\{e\}$.
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Again, think about this for a moment in terms of linear spaces and matrices, and spanning trees.
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- Then $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid called a $k$-uniform matroid.
- Note, if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, and $|I|<|J| \leq k$, and $j \in J$ such that $j \notin I$, then $j$ is such that $|I+j| \leq k$ and so $I+j \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Rank function

$$
r(A)= \begin{cases}|A| & \text { if }|A| \leq k  \tag{6.8}\\ k & \text { if }|A|>k\end{cases}
$$

- Note, this function is submodular. Not surprising since $r(A)=\min (|A|, k)$ which is a non-decreasing concave function applied to a modular function.
- Closure function

$$
\operatorname{span}(A)= \begin{cases}A & \text { if }|A|<k  \tag{6.9}\\ E & \text { if }|A| \geq k\end{cases}
$$

- A "free" matroid sets $k=|E|$, so everything is independent.


## Linear (or Matric) Matroid

- Let $\mathbf{X}$ be an $n \times m$ matrix and $E=\{1, \ldots, m\}$
- Let $\mathcal{I}$ consists of subsets of $E$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{I}$, and $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ then the vectors $x_{a_{1}}, x_{a_{2}}, \ldots, x_{a_{k}}$ are linearly independent.
- the rank function is just the rank of the space spanned by the corresponding set of vectors.
- rank is submodular, it is intuitive that it satisfies the diminishing returns property (a given vector can only become linearly dependent in a greater context, thereby no longer contributing to rank).
- Called both linear matroids and matric matroids.
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- Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. Consider $(E, \mathcal{I})$ where the edges of the graph $E$ are the ground set and $A \in \mathcal{I}$ if the edge-induced graph $G(V, A)$ by $A$ does not contain any cycle.
- Then $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- I contains all forests.
- Bases are spanning forests (spanning trees if $G$ is connected).
- Rank function $r(A)$ is the size of the largest spanning forest contained in $G(V, A)$.
- Recall from earlier, $r(A)=|V(G)|-k_{G}(A)$, where for $A \subseteq E(G)$, we define $k_{G}(A)$ as the number of connected components of the edge-induced spanning subgraph $(V(G), A)$, and that $k_{G}(A)$ is supermodular, so $|V(G)|-k_{G}(A)$ is submodular.
- Closure function adds all edges between the vertices adjacent to any edge in $A$. Closure of a spanning forest is $G$.
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- Parameters associated with a partition matroid: $\ell$ and $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ although often the $k_{i}$ 's are all the same.
- We'll show that property (I3') in Def 6.3.4 holds. First note, for any $X \subseteq V,|X|=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|$ since $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right\}$ is a partition.
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=\left\{X \subseteq V:\left|X \cap V_{i}\right| \leq k_{i} \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, \ell\right\} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ are fixed "limit" parameters, $k_{i} \geq 0$. Then $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.

- Note that a $k$-uniform matroid is a trivial example of a partition matroid with $\ell=1, V_{1}=V$, and $k_{1}=k$.
- Parameters associated with a partition matroid: $\ell$ and $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{\ell}$ although often the $k_{i}$ 's are all the same.
- We'll show that property ( 13 ') in Def 6.3.4 holds. First note, for any $X \subseteq V,|X|=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|$ since $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{\ell}\right\}$ is a partition.
- If $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|Y|>|X|$, then there must be at least one $i$ with $\left|Y \cap V_{i}\right|>\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|$. Therefore, adding one element $e \in V_{i} \cap(Y \backslash X)$ to $X$ won't break independence.


## Partition Matroid

Ground set of objects, $V=\{$


## Partition Matroid

Partition of $V$ into six blocks, $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{6}$


Partition Matroid
Limit associated with each block, $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{6}\right\}$


## Partition Matroid

Independent subset but not maximally independent.


## Partition Matroid

## Maximally independent subset, what is called a base.



## Partition Matroid

Not independent since over limit in set six.


## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

Proof.
(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$. We can find such a $Y \supseteq X$ because the following. Let $Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$ be any inclusionwise maximal set with $Y^{\prime} \subseteq A \cup B$, which might not have $X \subseteq Y^{\prime}$. Starting from $Y \leftarrow X \subseteq A \cup B$, since $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \geq|X|$, there exists a $y \in Y^{\prime} \backslash X \subseteq A \cup B$ such that $X+y \in \mathcal{I}$ but since $y \in A \cup B$, also $X+y \in A \cup B$ - we then add $y$ to $Y$. We can keep doing this while $\left|Y^{\prime}\right|>|X|$ since this is a matroid. We end up with an inclusionwise maximal set $Y$ with $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$.

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.
(9) Then we have (since $X \subseteq A \cap B, X \subseteq Y$, and $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(A)+r(B) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.
(9) Then we have (since $X \subseteq A \cap B, X \subseteq Y$, and $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(A)+r(B) \geq|Y \cap A|+|Y \cap B| \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.
(9) Then we have (since $X \subseteq A \cap B, X \subseteq Y$, and $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A)+r(B) & \geq|Y \cap A|+|Y \cap B|  \tag{6.11}\\
& =|Y \cap(A \cap B)|+|Y \cap(A \cup B)| \tag{6.12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Matroids - rank function is submodular

## Lemma 6.5.1

The rank function $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$of a matroid is submodular, that is $r(A)+r(B) \geq r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B)$

## Proof.

(1) Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$ be an inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq A \cap B$
(2) Let $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ be inclusionwise maximal set with $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$.
(3) Since $M$ is a matroid, we know that $r(A \cap B)=r(X)=|X|$, and $r(A \cup B)=r(Y)=|Y|$. Also, for any $U \in \mathcal{I}, r(A) \geq|A \cap U|$.
(9) Then we have (since $X \subseteq A \cap B, X \subseteq Y$, and $Y \subseteq A \cup B$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
r(A)+r(B) & \geq|Y \cap A|+|Y \cap B|  \tag{6.11}\\
& =|Y \cap(A \cap B)|+|Y \cap(A \cup B)|  \tag{6.12}\\
& \geq|X|+|Y|=r(A \cap B)+r(A \cup B) \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

## A matroid is defined from its rank function

## Theorem 6.5.2 (Matroid from rank)

Let $E$ be a set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $A, B \subseteq E$ :
(R1) $\forall A \subseteq E \quad 0 \leq r(A) \leq|A|$ (non-negative cardinality bounded)
(R2) $r(A) \leq r(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(R3) $r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B) \leq r(A)+r(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)

- From above, $r(\emptyset)=0$. Let $v \notin A$, then by monotonicity and submodularity, $r(A) \leq r(A \cup\{v\}) \leq r(A)+r(\{v\})$ which gives only two possible values to $r(A \cup\{v\})$, namely $r(A)$ or $r(A)+1$.


## A matroid is defined from its rank function

## Theorem 6.5.2 (Matroid from rank)

Let $E$ be a set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $A, B \subseteq E$ :
(R1) $\forall A \subseteq E \quad 0 \leq r(A) \leq|A|$ (non-negative cardinality bounded)
(R2) $r(A) \leq r(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(R3) $r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B) \leq r(A)+r(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)

- From above, $r(\emptyset)=0$. Let $v \notin A$, then by monotonicity and submodularity, $r(A) \leq r(A \cup\{v\}) \leq r(A)+r(\{v\})$ which gives only two possible values to $r(A \cup\{v\})$, namely $r(A)$ or $r(A)+1$.
- Hence, unit increment (if $r(A)=k$, then either $r(A \cup\{v\})=k$ or $r(A \cup\{v\})=k+1)$ holds.


## A matroid is defined from its rank function

## Theorem 6.5.2 (Matroid from rank)

Let $E$ be a set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $A, B \subseteq E$ :
(R1) $\forall A \subseteq E \quad 0 \leq r(A) \leq|A|$ (non-negative cardinality bounded)
(R2) $r(A) \leq r(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(R3) $r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B) \leq r(A)+r(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)

- From above, $r(\emptyset)=0$. Let $v \notin A$, then by monotonicity and submodularity, $r(A) \leq r(A \cup\{v\}) \leq r(A)+r(\{v\})$ which gives only two possible values to $r(A \cup\{v\})$, namely $r(A)$ or $r(A)+1$.
- Hence, unit increment (if $r(A)=k$, then either $r(A \cup\{v\})=k$ or $r(A \cup\{v\})=k+1)$ holds.
- Thus, submodularity, non-negative monotone non-decreasing, and unit increment of rank is necessary and sufficient to define a matroid.


## A matroid is defined from its rank function

## Theorem 6.5.2 (Matroid from rank)

Let $E$ be a set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $A, B \subseteq E$ :
(R1) $\forall A \subseteq E \quad 0 \leq r(A) \leq|A|$ (non-negative cardinality bounded)
(R2) $r(A) \leq r(B)$ whenever $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(R3) $r(A \cup B)+r(A \cap B) \leq r(A)+r(B)$ for all $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)

- From above, $r(\emptyset)=0$. Let $v \notin A$, then by monotonicity and submodularity, $r(A) \leq r(A \cup\{v\}) \leq r(A)+r(\{v\})$ which gives only two possible values to $r(A \cup\{v\})$, namely $r(A)$ or $r(A)+1$.
- Hence, unit increment (if $r(A)=k$, then either $r(A \cup\{v\})=k$ or $r(A \cup\{v\})=k+1)$ holds.
- Thus, submodularity, non-negative monotone non-decreasing, and unit increment of rank is necessary and sufficient to define a matroid.
- Can refer to matroid as $(E, r), E$ is ground set, $r$ is rank function.


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(X) \geq r(Y)-r(Y \backslash X) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(X) \geq r(Y)-r(Y \backslash X)+r(\emptyset) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,

$$
\begin{align*}
r(X) & \geq r(Y)-r(Y \backslash X)+r(\emptyset)  \tag{6.14}\\
& \geq|Y|-|Y \backslash X| \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,

$$
\begin{align*}
r(X) & \geq r(Y)-r(Y \backslash X)+r(\emptyset)  \tag{6.14}\\
& \geq|Y|-|Y \backslash X|  \tag{6.15}\\
& =|X|
\end{align*}
$$

(6.16)

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 6.7 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 6.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define $\mathcal{I}=\{X \subseteq E: r(X)=|X|\}$. We will show that $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid.
- First, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$.
- Also, if $Y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $X \subseteq Y$ then by submodularity,

$$
\begin{align*}
r(X) & \geq r(Y)-r(Y \backslash X)+r(\emptyset)  \tag{6.14}\\
& \geq|Y|-|Y \backslash X|  \tag{6.15}\\
& =|X| \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

implying $r(X)=|X|$, and thus $X \in \mathcal{I}$.

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}($ note $1 \leq k \leq|B|)$.


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then


## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(B) \leq r(A \cup B) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A) \tag{6.18}
\end{align*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

(6.19)

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right.  \tag{6.19}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}\right)-r(A) \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right.  \tag{6.19}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.20}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(6.21)

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right.  \tag{6.19}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.20}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \ldots \leq r(A)=|A|<|B|
\end{align*}
$$

(6.21)
(6.22)

## Matroids from rank

## Proof of Theorem 6.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$, with $|A|<|B|$, so $r(A)=|A|<r(B)=|B|$. Let $B \backslash A=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$ (note $1 \leq k \leq|B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that $\forall b \in B \backslash A, A+b \notin \mathcal{I}$, which means for all such $b, r(A+b)=r(A)=|A|<|A|+1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
r(B) & \leq r(A \cup B)  \tag{6.17}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.18}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}\right\}\right)\right.  \tag{6.19}\\
& \leq r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right)+r\left(A \cup\left\{b_{2}\right\}\right)-r(A)  \tag{6.20}\\
& =r\left(A \cup\left(B \backslash\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \ldots \leq r(A)=|A|<|B| \tag{6.22}
\end{align*}
$$

(6.21)
giving a contradiction since $B \in \mathcal{I}$.

## Matroids from rank II

Another way of using function $r$ to define a matroid.

## Theorem 6.5.3 (Matroid from rank II)

Let $E$ be a finite set and let $r: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$be a function. Then $r(\cdot)$ defines a matroid with $r$ being its rank function if and only if for all $X \subseteq E$, and $x, y \in E$ :
$\left(\mathrm{R} 1^{\prime}\right) r(\emptyset)=0$;
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right) \quad r(X) \leq r(X \cup\{y\}) \leq r(X)+1$;
(R3') If $r(X \cup\{x\})=r(X \cup\{y\})=r(X)$, then $r(X \cup\{x, y\})=r(X)$.

## Matroids by submodular functions

## Theorem 6.5.4 (Matroid by submodular functions)

Let $f: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a integer valued monotone non-decreasing submodular function. Define a set of sets as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}(f)=\{C \subseteq E: C & \text { is non-empty, } \\
& \text { is inclusionwise-minimal, } \\
& \text { and has } f(C)<|C|\} \tag{6.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(f)$ is the collection of circuits of a matroid on $E$.
Inclusionwise-minimal in this case means that if $C \in \mathcal{C}(f)$, then there exists no $C^{\prime} \subset C$ with $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}(f)$ (i.e., $C^{\prime} \subset C$ would either be empty or have $\left.f\left(C^{\prime}\right) \geq\left|C^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Also, recall inclusionwise-minimal in Definition 6.3.10, the definition of a circuit.
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## Summarizing: Many ways to define a Matroid

Summarizing what we've so far seen, we saw that it is possible to uniquely define a matroid based on any of:

- Independence (define the independent sets).
- Base axioms (exchangeability)
- Circuit axioms
- Closure axioms (we didn't see this, but it is possible)
- Rank axioms (normalized, monotone, cardinality bounded, non-negative integral, submodular)
- Matroids by integral submodular functions.


## Maximization problems for matroids

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ and a modular value function $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the task is to find an $X \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $c(X)=\sum_{x \in X} c(x)$ is maximum.
- This seems remarkably similar to the max spanning tree problem.


## Minimization problems for matroids

- Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ and a modular cost function $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the task is to find a basis $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $c(B)$ is minimized.
- This sounds like a set cover problem (find the minimum cost covering set of sets).
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- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
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## Partition Matroid

- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min \left(\left|A \cap V_{i}\right|, k_{i}\right) \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we also immediately see is submodular using properties we spoke about last week. That is:
(1) $\left|A \cap V_{i}\right|$ is submodular (in fact modular) in $A$
(2) $\min \left(\right.$ submodular $\left.(A), k_{i}\right)$ is submodular in $A$ since $\left|A \cap V_{i}\right|$ is monotone.
(3) sums of submodular functions are submodular.

- $r(A)$ is also non-negative integral monotone non-decreasing, so it defines a matroid (the partition matroid).
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- Create two-block partition $V=(R, \bar{R})$, where $\bar{R}=V \backslash R$ so $|\bar{R}|=|V|-b$. Gives 2-partition matroid rank function as follows:
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## Truncated Matroid Rank Function
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$$

- Defines a matroid $M=\left(V, f_{R}\right)=(V, \mathcal{I})$ (Goemans et. al.) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}=\{I \subseteq V:|I| \leq b \text { and }|I \cap R| \leq a\} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization.
Consider sets $B \subseteq V$ with $|B|=b$. Recall $R$ fixed, and $|R|=b$.

- For $R$, we have $f_{R}(R)=\min (b, a, b)=a<b$.
- For any $B$ with $|B \cap R| \leq a, f_{R}(B)=b$.
- For any $B$ with $|B \cap R|=\ell$, with $a \leq \ell \leq b, f_{R}(B)=a+b-\ell$.
- $R$, the set with minimum valuation amongst size- $b$ sets, is hidden within an exponentially larger set of size- $b$ sets with larger valuation.


## Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- A partition matroid can be viewed using a bipartite graph.
- Letting $V$ denote the ground set, and $V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots$ the partition, the bipartite graph is $G=(V, I, E)$ where $V$ is the ground set, $I$ is a set of "indices", and $E$ is the set of edges.
- $I=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{\ell}\right)$ is a set of $k=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_{i}$ nodes, grouped into $\ell$ clusters, where there are $k_{i}$ nodes in the $i^{\text {th }}$ group $I_{i}$, and $\left|I_{i}\right|=k_{i}$.
- $(v, i) \in E(G)$ iff $v \in V_{j}$ and $i \in I_{j}$.
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- Example where $\ell=5$, $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{5}\right)=$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3).
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## Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- Example where $\ell=5$,
$\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{5}\right)=$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3).

- Recall, $\Gamma: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the neighbor function in a bipartite graph, the neighbors of $X$ is defined as $\Gamma(X)=$ $\{v \in V(G) \backslash X: E(X,\{v\}) \neq \emptyset\}$, and recall that $|\Gamma(X)|$ is submodular.
- Here, for $X \subseteq V$, we have $\Gamma(X)=$ $\{i \in I:(v, i) \in E(G)$ and $v \in X\}$.
- For such a constructed bipartite graph, the rank function of a partition matroid is $r(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min \left(\left|X \cap V_{i}\right|, k_{i}\right)=$ the maximum matching involving $X$.

