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$$
f(A)+f(B) \geq f(A \cup B)+f(A \cap B)
$$

$=r(A)+2 f(C)+\left(B B_{i}\right)=r(A)+f(C)+r(B) \quad=f(A A B)$


## Cumulative Outstanding Reading

- Read chapters 1 and 2, and sections 3.1-3.2 from Fujishige's book.
- Good references for today: Schrijver-2003, Oxley-1992/2011, Welsh-1973, Goemans-2010, Cunningham-1984, Edmonds-1969.


## Announcements, Assignments, and Reminders

- Weekly Office Hours: Wednesdays, 5:00-5:50, or by skype or google hangout (email me).


## Class Road Map - IT-I

- L1 (3/31): Motivation, Applications, \& Basic Definitions
- L2: (4/2): Applications, Basic Definitions, Properties
- L3: More examples and properties (e.g., closure properties), and examples, spanning trees
- L4: proofs of equivalent definitions, independence, start matroids
- L5: matroids, basic definitions and examples
- L6: More on matroids, System of Distinct Reps, Transversals, Transversal Matroid, Matroid and representation
- L7: Dual Matroids, other matroid properties, Combinatorial Geometries
- L8: Combinatorial Geometries, matroids and greedy, Polyhedra, Matroid Polytopes,
- L9: From Matroid Polytopes to Polymatroids.
- L10: Polymatroids and Submodularity

Finals Week: June 9th-13th, 2014.

## Matroid and the greedy algorithm

- Let $(E, \mathcal{I})$ be an independence system, and we are given a non-negative modular weight function $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$.


## Algorithm 1: The Matroid Greedy Algorithm

1 Set $X \leftarrow \emptyset$;
2 while $\exists v \in E \backslash X$ s.t. $X \cup\{v\} \in \mathcal{I}$ do
$3 \quad v \in \operatorname{argmax}\{w(v): v \in E \backslash X, X \cup\{v\} \in \mathcal{I}\}$;
4 $X \leftarrow X \cup\{v\} ;$

- Same as sorting items by decreasing weight $w$, and then choosing items in that order that retain independence.


## Theorem 9.2.2

Let $(E, \mathcal{I})$ be an independence system. Then the pair $(E, \mathcal{I})$ is a matroid if and only if for each weight function $w \in \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, Algorithm ?? leads to a set $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of maximum weight $w(I)$.

## Matroid Polyhedron in 2D

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Consider this in two dimensions. We have equations of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} & \geq 0 \text { and } x_{2} \geq 0  \tag{9.11}\\
x_{1} & \leq r\left(\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)  \tag{9.12}\\
x_{2} & \leq r\left(\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right)  \tag{9.13}\\
x_{1}+x_{2} & \leq r\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right) \tag{9.14}
\end{align*}
$$

- Because $r$ is submodular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)+r\left(\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right) \geq r\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)+r(\emptyset) \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so since $r\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right) \leq r\left(\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)+r\left(\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right)$, the last inequality is either touching or active.

## Matroid Polyhedron in 2D






## Independence Polyhedra

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $\mathbf{1}_{I}$.
- Taking the convex hull, we get the independent set polytope, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Since $\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}: I \in \mathcal{I}\right\} \subseteq P_{\text {ind. set }}$, we have $\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}$.
- Now take the rank function $r$ of $M$, and define the following polyhedron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Now, take any $x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}$, then we have that $x \in P_{r}^{+}$(or $P_{\text {ind. set }} \subseteq P_{r}^{+}$). We show this next.


## $P_{\text {ind. set }} \subseteq P_{r}^{+}$

- If $x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some appropriate vector $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$.

- Clearly, for such $x, x \geq 0$.
- Now, for any $A \subseteq E$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x(A) & =x^{\top} \mathbf{1}_{A}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{I_{i}}{ }^{\top} \mathbf{1}_{A}  \tag{9.11}\\
& \leq \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \max _{j: I_{j} \subseteq A} \mathbf{1}_{I_{j}}(E)  \tag{9.12}\\
& =\max _{j: I_{j} \subseteq A} \mathbf{1}_{I_{j}}(E)  \tag{9.13}\\
& =r(A) \tag{9.14}
\end{align*}
$$

- Thus, $x \in P_{r}^{+}$and hence $P_{\text {ind. set }} \subseteq P_{r}^{+}$.


## Matroid Independence Polyhedron

- So recall from a moment ago, that we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \\
& \qquad \subseteq P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

- In fact, the two polyhedra are identical (and thus both are polytopes).
- We'll show this in the next few theorems.


## Maximum weight independent set via greedy weighted rank

## Theorem 9.2.6

Let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid, with rank function $r$, then for any weight function $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{V}$, there exists a chain of sets $U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n} \subseteq V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{w(I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I}\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{U_{i}} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Maximum weight independent set via weighted rank

## Proof.

- Firstly, note that for any such $w \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\begin{array}{c}
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
\vdots \\
w_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(w_{1}-w_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)+ \\
& \cdots+\left(w_{n-1}-w_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)+\left(w_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

- If we can take $w$ in decreasing order $\left(w_{1} \geq w_{2} \geq \cdots \geq w_{n}\right)$, then each coefficient of the vectors is non-negative (except possibly the last one, $w_{n}$ ).


## Maximum weight independent set via weighted rank

## Proof.

- Now, again assuming $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, order the elements of $V$ as $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ such that $w\left(v_{1}\right) \geq w\left(v_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq w\left(v_{n}\right)$
- Define the sets $U_{i}$ based on this order as follows, for $i=0, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{i}\right\} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Define the set $I$ as those elements where the rank increases, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{v_{i} \mid r\left(U_{i}\right)>r\left(U_{i-1}\right)\right\} \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Therefore, $I$ is the output of the greedy algorithm for $\max \{w(I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I}\}$.
- And therefore, $I$ is a maximum weight independent set (even a base, actually).


## Maximum weight independent set via weighted rank

## Proof.

- Now, we define $\lambda_{i}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} w\left(v_{i}\right)-w\left(v_{i+1}\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1  \tag{9.22}\\
& \lambda_{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} w\left(v_{n}\right) \tag{9.23}
\end{align*}
$$

- And the weight of the independent set $w(I)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
w(I) & =\sum_{v \in I} w(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(v_{i}\right)\left(r\left(U_{i}\right)-r\left(U_{i-1}\right)\right)  \tag{9.24}\\
& =w\left(v_{n}\right) r\left(U_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(w\left(v_{i}\right)-w\left(v_{i+1}\right)\right) r\left(U_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{9.25}
\end{align*}
$$

- Since we took $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots$ in decreasing order, for all $i$, and since $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, we have $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$


## Linear Program LP

Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { subject to } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.1}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

## Linear Program LP

Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { subject to } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.1}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

And its convex dual (note $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2^{n}}, y_{U}$ is a scalar element within this exponentially big vector):

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{minimize} & \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U), \\
\text { subject to } & y_{U} \geq 0  \tag{9.2}\\
& \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w
\end{align*} \quad(\forall U \subseteq V)
$$

## Linear Program LP

Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { subject to } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.1}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

And its convex dual (note $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2^{n}}, y_{U}$ is a scalar element within this exponentially big vector):

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{minimize} & \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U), \\
\text { subject to } & y_{U} \geq 0  \tag{9.2}\\
& \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w
\end{align*} \quad(\forall U \subseteq V)
$$

Thanks to strong duality, the solutions to these are equal to each other.

## Linear Program LP

- Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.3}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

## Linear Program LP

- Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.3}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

- This is identical to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max w^{\top} x \text { such that } x \in P_{r}^{+} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, again, $P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\}$.

## Linear Program LP

- Consider the linear programming primal problem

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{maximize} & w^{\top} x & \\
\text { s.t. } & x_{v} \geq 0 & (v \in V)  \tag{9.3}\\
& x(U) \leq r(U) & (\forall U \subseteq V)
\end{array}
$$

- This is identical to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max w^{\top} x \text { such that } x \in P_{r}^{+} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, again, $P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\}$.

- Therefore, since $P_{\text {ind. set }} \subseteq P_{r}^{+}$, the above problem can only have a larger solution. I.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max w^{\top} x \text { s.t. } x \in P_{\text {ind. set }} \leq \max w^{\top} x \text { s.t. } x \in P_{r}^{+} \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min } & =\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min } & =\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the chain of $U_{i}$ 's and $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ that satisfies $w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{U_{i}}$ (i.e., the r.h.s. of Eq. 9.9 is feasible w.r.t. the dual LP).

## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min } & =\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- Theorem 8.6.1 states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the chain of $U_{i}$ 's and $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ that satisfies $w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{U_{i}}$ (i.e., the r.h.s. of Eq. 9.9 is feasible w.r.t. the dual LP).

- Therefore, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \geq \alpha_{\min } \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& \leq \max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min } & =\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- Therefore, all the inequalities above are equalities.


## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & =\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& =\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
& \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min }
\end{align*}=\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
$$

- Therefore, all the inequalities above are equalities.
- And since $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ is an arbitrary direction into the positive orthant, we see that $P_{r}^{+}=P_{\text {ind. set }}$


## Polytope equivalence

- Hence, we have the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\max \{w(I): I \in \mathcal{I}\} & =\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\right\}  \tag{9.6}\\
& =\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}  \tag{9.7}\\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{\min } & =\min \left\{\sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} r(U): y \geq 0, \sum_{U \subseteq V} y_{U} \mathbf{1}_{U} \geq w\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

- Therefore, all the inequalities above are equalities.
- And since $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ is an arbitrary direction into the positive orthant, we see that $P_{r}^{+}=P_{\text {ind. set }}$
- That is, we have just proven:


## Theorem 9.3.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=P_{\text {ind. set }} \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope Equivalence (Summarizing the above)

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $\mathbf{1}_{I}$.


## Polytope Equivalence (Summarizing the above)

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $\mathbf{1}_{I}$.
- Taking the convex hull, we get the independent set polytope, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope Equivalence (Summarizing the above)

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $1_{I}$.
- Taking the convex hull, we get the independent set polytope, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Now take the rank function $r$ of $M$, and define the following polyhedron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope Equivalence (Summarizing the above)

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $1_{I}$.
- Taking the convex hull, we get the independent set polytope, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Now take the rank function $r$ of $M$, and define the following polyhedron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 9.3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=P_{\text {ind. set }} \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Greedy solves a linear programming problem

- So we can describe the independence polytope of a matroid using the set of inequalities (an exponential number of them).


## Greedy solves a linear programming problem

- So we can describe the independence polytope of a matroid using the set of inequalities (an exponential number of them).
- In fact, considering equations starting at Eq 9.6, the LP problem with exponential number of constraints $\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}$is identical to the maximum weight independent set problem in a matroid, and since greedy solves the latter problem exactly, we have also proven:


## Greedy solves a linear programming problem

- So we can describe the independence polytope of a matroid using the set of inequalities (an exponential number of them).
- In fact, considering equations starting at Eq 9.6, the LP problem with exponential number of constraints $\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}$is identical to the maximum weight independent set problem in a matroid, and since greedy solves the latter problem exactly, we have also proven:


## Theorem 9.3.3

The LP problem max $\left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}$can be solved exactly using the greedy algorithm.

Note that this LP problem has an exponential number of constraints (since $P_{r}^{+}$is described as the intersection of an exponential number of half spaces).

## Greedy solves a linear programming problem

- So we can describe the independence polytope of a matroid using the set of inequalities (an exponential number of them).
- In fact, considering equations starting at Eq 9.6, the LP problem with exponential number of constraints $\max \left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}$is identical to the maximum weight independent set problem in a matroid, and since greedy solves the latter problem exactly, we have also proven:


## Theorem 9.3.3

The LP problem max $\left\{w^{\top} x: x \in P_{r}^{+}\right\}$can be solved exactly using the greedy algorithm.

Note that this LP problem has an exponential number of constraints (since $P_{r}^{+}$is described as the intersection of an exponential number of half spaces).

- This means that if LP problems have certain structure, they can be solved much easier than immediately implied by the equations.


## Base Polytope Equivalence

- Consider convex hull of indicator vectors just of the bases of a matroid, rather than all of the independent sets.


## Base Polytope Equivalence

- Consider convex hull of indicator vectors just of the bases of a matroid, rather than all of the independent sets.
- Consider a polytope defined by the following constraints:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0  \tag{9.15}\\
x(A) & \leq r(A) \forall A \subseteq V  \tag{9.16}\\
x(V) & =r(V) \tag{9.17}
\end{align*}
$$

## Base Polytope Equivalence

- Consider convex hull of indicator vectors just of the bases of a matroid, rather than all of the independent sets.
- Consider a polytope defined by the following constraints:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0  \tag{9.15}\\
x(A) & \leq r(A) \forall A \subseteq V  \tag{9.16}\\
x(V) & =r(V)
\end{align*}
$$

(9.17)

- Note the third requirement, $x(V)=r(V)$.


## Base Polytope Equivalence

- Consider convex hull of indicator vectors just of the bases of a matroid, rather than all of the independent sets.
- Consider a polytope defined by the following constraints:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0  \tag{9.15}\\
x(A) & \leq r(A) \forall A \subseteq V  \tag{9.16}\\
x(V) & =r(V)
\end{align*}
$$

(9.17)

- Note the third requirement, $x(V)=r(V)$.
- By essentially the same argument as above (Exercise:), we can shown that the convex hull of the incidence vectors of the bases of a matroid is a polytope that can be described by Eq. 9.15- 9.17 above.


## Base Polytope Equivalence

- Consider convex hull of indicator vectors just of the bases of a matroid, rather than all of the independent sets.
- Consider a polytope defined by the following constraints:

$$
\begin{align*}
x & \geq 0  \tag{9.15}\\
x(A) & \leq r(A) \forall A \subseteq V  \tag{9.16}\\
x(V) & =r(V) \tag{9.17}
\end{align*}
$$

- Note the third requirement, $x(V)=r(V)$.
- By essentially the same argument as above (Exercise:), we can shown that the convex hull of the incidence vectors of the bases of a matroid is a polytope that can be described by Eq. 9.15- 9.17 above.
- What does this look like?


## Spanning set polytope

- Recall, a set $A$ is spanning in a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ if $r(A)=r(E)$.


## Spanning set polytope

- Recall, a set $A$ is spanning in a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ if $r(A)=r(E)$.
- Consider convex hull of incidence vectors of spanning sets of a matroid $M$, and call this $P_{\text {spanning }}(M)$.


## Spanning set polytope

- Recall, a set $A$ is spanning in a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ if $r(A)=r(E)$.
- Consider convex hull of incidence vectors of spanning sets of a matroid $M$, and call this $P_{\text {spanning }}(M)$.


## Theorem 9.3.4

The spanning set polytope is determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq x_{e} \leq 1 & \text { for } e \in E  \tag{9.18}\\
x(A) \geq r(E)-r(E \backslash A) & \text { for } A \subseteq E \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

## Spanning set polytope

- Recall, a set $A$ is spanning in a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$ if $r(A)=r(E)$.
- Consider convex hull of incidence vectors of spanning sets of a matroid $M$, and call this $P_{\text {spanning }}(M)$.


## Theorem 9.3.4

The spanning set polytope is determined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq x_{e} \leq 1 & \text { for } e \in E  \tag{9.18}\\
x(A) \geq r(E)-r(E \backslash A) & \text { for } A \subseteq E \tag{9.19}
\end{align*}
$$

- Example of spanning set polytope in 2D.



## Spanning set polytope

## Proof.

- Recall that any $A$ is spanning in $M$ iff $E \backslash A$ is independent in $M^{*}$ (the dual matroid).


## Spanning set polytope

## Proof.

- Recall that any $A$ is spanning in $M$ iff $E \backslash A$ is independent in $M^{*}$ (the dual matroid).
- For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in P_{\text {spanning }}(M) \Leftrightarrow 1-x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\left(M^{*}\right) \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we show next ...

## Spanning set polytope

## proof continued.

- This follows since if $x \in P_{\text {spanning }}(M)$, we can represent $x$ as a convex combination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}} \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ is spanning in $M$.

## Spanning set polytope

## proof continued.

- This follows since if $x \in P_{\text {spanning }}(M)$, we can represent $x$ as a convex combination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}} \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ is spanning in $M$.

- Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}-x=\mathbf{1}_{E}-x=\mathbf{1}_{E}-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{A_{i}}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{E \backslash A_{i}}, \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows since $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1}_{E}$, so $\mathbf{1}-x$ is a convex combination of independent sets in $M^{*}$ and so $1-x \in P_{\text {ind. set }}\left(M^{*}\right)$.

## Spanning set polytope

## . . proof continued.

- which means, from the definition of $P_{\text {ind. set }}\left(M^{*}\right)$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{1}-x & \geq 0  \tag{9.23}\\
\mathbf{1}_{A}-x(A) & =|A|-x(A) \leq r_{M^{*}}(A) \text { for } A \subseteq E \tag{9.24}
\end{align*}
$$

And we know the dual rank function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{M^{*}}(A)=|A|+r_{M}(E \backslash A)-r_{M}(E) \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Spanning set polytope

## . . . proof continued.

- which means, from the definition of $P_{\text {ind. set }}\left(M^{*}\right)$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
1-x & \geq 0  \tag{9.23}\\
\mathbf{1}_{A}-x(A) & =|A|-x(A) \leq r_{M^{*}}(A) \text { for } A \subseteq E \tag{9.24}
\end{align*}
$$

And we know the dual rank function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{M^{*}}(A)=|A|+r_{M}(E \backslash A)-r_{M}(E) \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(A) \geq r_{M}(E)-r_{M}(E \backslash A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Matroids

where are we going with this?

- We've been discussing results about matroids (independence polytope, etc.).
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- We've been discussing results about matroids (independence polytope, etc.).
- By now, it is clear that matroid rank functions are special cases of submodular functions. We ultimately will be reviewing submodular function minimization procedures, but in some cases it it worth showing a result for a general submodular function first.


## Matroids

- We've been discussing results about matroids (independence polytope, etc.).
- By now, it is clear that matroid rank functions are special cases of submodular functions. We ultimately will be reviewing submodular function minimization procedures, but in some cases it it worth showing a result for a general submodular function first.
- Henceforth, we will skip between submodular functions and matroids, each lecture talking less about matroids specifically and taking more about submodular functions more generally ...


## Maximal points in a set

- Regarding sets, a subset $X$ of $S$ is a maximal subset of $S$ possessing a given property $\mathfrak{P}$ if $X$ possesses property $\mathfrak{P}$ and no set properly containing $X$ (i.e., any $X^{\prime} \supset X$ with $X^{\prime} \backslash X \subseteq V \backslash X$ ) possesses $\mathfrak{P}$.


## Maximal points in a set

- Regarding sets, a subset $X$ of $S$ is a maximal subset of $S$ possessing a given property $\mathfrak{P}$ if $X$ possesses property $\mathfrak{P}$ and no set properly containing $X$ (i.e., any $X^{\prime} \supset X$ with $X^{\prime} \backslash X \subseteq V \backslash X$ ) possesses $\mathfrak{P}$.
- Given any compact (essentially closed \& bounded) set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we say that a vector $x$ is maximal within $P$ if it is the case that for any $\epsilon>0$, and for all $e \in E$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e} \notin P \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Maximal points in a set

- Regarding sets, a subset $X$ of $S$ is a maximal subset of $S$ possessing a given property $\mathfrak{P}$ if $X$ possesses property $\mathfrak{P}$ and no set properly containing $X$ (i.e., any $X^{\prime} \supset X$ with $X^{\prime} \backslash X \subseteq V \backslash X$ ) possesses $\mathfrak{P}$.
- Given any compact (essentially closed \& bounded) set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we say that a vector $x$ is maximal within $P$ if it is the case that for any $\epsilon>0$, and for all $e \in E$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e} \notin P \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Examples of maximal regions (in red)



## Maximal points in a set

- Regarding sets, a subset $X$ of $S$ is a maximal subset of $S$ possessing a given property $\mathfrak{P}$ if $X$ possesses property $\mathfrak{P}$ and no set properly containing $X$ (i.e., any $X^{\prime} \supset X$ with $X^{\prime} \backslash X \subseteq V \backslash X$ ) possesses $\mathfrak{P}$.
- Given any compact (essentially closed \& bounded) set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we say that a vector $x$ is maximal within $P$ if it is the case that for any $\epsilon>0$, and for all $e \in E$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e} \notin P \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Examples of non-maximal regions (in green)



## Review

- The next slide comes from Lecture 5 .


## Matroids, independent sets, and bases

- Independent sets: Given a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, a subset $A \subseteq E$ is called independent if $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and otherwise $A$ is called dependent.
- A base of $U \subseteq E$ : For $U \subseteq E$, a subset $B \subseteq U$ is called a base of $U$ if $B$ is inclusionwise maximally independent subset of $U$. That is, $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and there is no $Z \in \mathcal{I}$ with $B \subset Z \subseteq U$.
- A base of a matroid: If $U=E$, then a "base of $E$ " is just called a base of the matroid $M$ (this corresponds to a basis in a linear space).


## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

> Definition 9.4 .1 (subvector)
> $y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

## Definition 9.4.1 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 9.4.2 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

## Definition 9.4.1 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 9.4.2 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

Here, by $y$ being "maximal", we mean that there exists no $z>y$ (more precisely, no $z \geq y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e}$ for some $e \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ ) having the properties of $y$ (the properties of $y$ being: in $P$, and a subvector of $x$ ).
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## Definition 9.4.1 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 9.4.2 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

Here, by $y$ being "maximal", we mean that there exists no $z>y$ (more precisely, no $z \geq y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e}$ for some $e \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ ) having the properties of $y$ (the properties of $y$ being: in $P$, and a subvector of $x$ ). In still other words: $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if:

## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

## Definition 9.4.1 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 9.4.2 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

Here, by $y$ being "maximal", we mean that there exists no $z>y$ (more precisely, no $z \geq y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e}$ for some $e \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ ) having the properties of $y$ (the properties of $y$ being: in $P$, and a subvector of $x$ ). In still other words: $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if:
(1) $y \leq x(y$ is a subvector of $x)$; and

## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

## Definition 9.4.1 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 9.4.2 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

Here, by $y$ being "maximal", we mean that there exists no $z>y$ (more precisely, no $z \geq y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e}$ for some $e \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ ) having the properties of $y$ (the properties of $y$ being: in $P$, and a subvector of $x$ ). In still other words: $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if:
(1) $y \leq x$ ( $y$ is a subvector of $x)$; and
(2) $y \in P$ and $y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e} \notin P$ for all $e \in E$ where $y(e)<x(e)$ and $\forall \epsilon>0$ ( $y$ is maximal $P$-contained).

## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- vector rank: Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, we can define a form of "vector rank" relative to this $P$ in the following way: Given an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we define the vector rank, relative to $P$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P) \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \leq x$ is componentwise inequality $\left(y_{i} \leq x_{i}, \forall i\right)$.

## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- vector rank: Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, we can define a form of "vector rank" relative to this $P$ in the following way: Given an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we define the vector rank, relative to $P$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P) \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \leq x$ is componentwise inequality $\left(y_{i} \leq x_{i}, \forall i\right)$.

- If $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ is the set of $P$-bases of $x$, than $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max _{y \in \mathcal{B}_{x}} y(E)$.


## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- vector rank: Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, we can define a form of "vector rank" relative to this $P$ in the following way: Given an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we define the vector rank, relative to $P$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P) \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \leq x$ is componentwise inequality $\left(y_{i} \leq x_{i}, \forall i\right)$.

- If $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ is the set of $P$-bases of $x$, than $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max _{y \in \mathcal{B}_{x}} y(E)$.
- If $x \in P$, then $\operatorname{rank}(x)=x(E)$ ( $x$ is its own unique self $P$-basis).


## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

- vector rank: Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, we can define a form of "vector rank" relative to this $P$ in the following way: Given an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we define the vector rank, relative to $P$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P) \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \leq x$ is componentwise inequality $\left(y_{i} \leq x_{i}, \forall i\right)$.

- If $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ is the set of $P$-bases of $x$, than $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max _{y \in \mathcal{B}_{x}} y(E)$.
- If $x \in P$, then $\operatorname{rank}(x)=x(E)(x$ is its own unique self $P$-basis).
- In general, this might be hard to compute and/or have ill-defined properties. We next look at an object that restrains and cultivates this form of rank.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

- Condition 3 restated: That is for any two distinct maximal vectors $y^{1}, y^{2} \in P$, with $y^{1} \leq x \& y^{2} \leq x$, with $y^{1} \neq y^{2}$, we must have $y^{1}(E)=y^{2}(E)$.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

- Condition 3 restated: That is for any two distinct maximal vectors $y^{1}, y^{2} \in P$, with $y^{1} \leq x \& y^{2} \leq x$, with $y^{1} \neq y^{2}$, we must have $y^{1}(E)=y^{2}(E)$.
- Condition 3 restated (again): For every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, every maximal independent subvector $y$ of $x$ has the same component sum $y(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x)$.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

- Condition 3 restated: That is for any two distinct maximal vectors $y^{1}, y^{2} \in P$, with $y^{1} \leq x \& y^{2} \leq x$, with $y^{1} \neq y^{2}$, we must have $y^{1}(E)=y^{2}(E)$.
- Condition 3 restated (again): For every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, every maximal independent subvector $y$ of $x$ has the same component sum $y(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x)$.
- Condition 3 restated (yet again): All $P$-bases of $x$ have the same component sum.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

- Vectors within $P$ (i.e., any $y \in P$ ) are called independent, and any vector outside of $P$ is called dependent.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 9.4.3 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

- Vectors within $P$ (i.e., any $y \in P$ ) are called independent, and any vector outside of $P$ is called dependent.
- Since all $P$-bases of $x$ have the same component sum, if $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ is the set of $P$-bases of $x$, than $\operatorname{rank}(x)=y(E)$ for any $y \in \mathcal{B}_{x}$.


## Matroid and Polymatroid: side-by-side

## A Matroid is:

A Polymatroid is:
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A Matroid is:
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## Matroid and Polymatroid: side-by-side

A Matroid is:
(1) a set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$
(2) empty-set containing $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$

A Polymatroid is:
(1) a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$
(2) zero containing, $\mathbf{0} \in P$

## Matroid and Polymatroid: side-by-side

A Matroid is:
(1) a set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$
(2) empty-set containing $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(3) down closed, $\emptyset \subseteq I^{\prime} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow I^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$.

A Polymatroid is:
(1) a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$
(2) zero containing, $\mathbf{0} \in P$
(3) down monotone, $0 \leq y \leq x \in P \Rightarrow y \in P$

## Matroid and Polymatroid: side-by-side

A Matroid is:
(1) a set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$
(2) empty-set containing $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(3) down closed, $\emptyset \subseteq I^{\prime} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow I^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$.
(9) any maximal set $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$, bounded by another set $A$, has the same matroid rank (any maximal independent subset $I \subseteq A$ has same size $|I|)$.
A Polymatroid is:
(1) a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$
(2) zero containing, $\mathbf{0} \in P$
(3) down monotone, $0 \leq y \leq x \in P \Rightarrow y \in P$
(9) any maximal vector $y$ in $P$, bounded by another vector $x$, has the same vector rank (any maximal independent subvector $y \leq x$ has same sum $y(E)$ ).

## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")



Left: $\exists$ multiple maximal $y \leq x$ Right: $\exists$ only one maximal $y \leq x$,

- Polymatroid condition here: $\forall$ maximal $y \in P$, with $y \leq x$ (which here means $y_{1} \leq x_{1}$ and $y_{2} \leq x_{2}$ ), we just have $y(E)=y_{1}+y_{2}=$ const.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")



Left: $\exists$ multiple maximal $y \leq x$ Right: $\exists$ only one maximal $y \leq x$,

- Polymatroid condition here: $\forall$ maximal $y \in P$, with $y \leq x$ (which here means $y_{1} \leq x_{1}$ and $y_{2} \leq x_{2}$ ), we just have $y(E)=y_{1}+y_{2}=$ const.
- On the left, we see there are multiple possible maximal $y \in P$ such that $y \leq x$. Each such $y$ must have the same value $y(E)$.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")



Left: $\exists$ multiple maximal $y \leq x$ Right: $\exists$ only one maximal $y \leq x$,

- Polymatroid condition here: $\forall$ maximal $y \in P$, with $y \leq x$ (which here means $y_{1} \leq x_{1}$ and $y_{2} \leq x_{2}$ ), we just have $y(E)=y_{1}+y_{2}=$ const.
- On the left, we see there are multiple possible maximal $y \in P$ such that $y \leq x$. Each such $y$ must have the same value $y(E)$.
- On the right, there is only one maximal $y \in P$. Since there is only one, the condition on the same value of $y(E), \forall y$ is vacuous.
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$\exists$ only one maximal $y \leq x$.

- If $x \in P$ already, then $x$ is its own $P$-basis, i.e., it is a self $P$-basis.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")


$\exists$ only one maximal $y \leq x$.

- If $x \in P$ already, then $x$ is its own $P$-basis, i.e., it is a self $P$-basis.
- In a matroid, a base of $A$ is the maximally contained independent set. If $A$ is already independent, then $A$ is a self-base of $A$ (as we saw in Lecture 5)


## Polymatroid as well?




Left and right: $\exists$ multiple maximal $y \leq x$ as indicated.

- On the left, we see there are multiple possible maximal such $y \in P$ that are $y \leq x$. Each such $y$ must have the same value $y(E)$, but since the equation for the curve is $y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=$ const. $\neq y_{1}+y_{2}$, we see this is not a polymatroid.


## Polymatroid as well? no




Left and right: $\exists$ multiple maximal $y \leq x$ as indicated.

- On the left, we see there are multiple possible maximal such $y \in P$ that are $y \leq x$. Each such $y$ must have the same value $y(E)$, but since the equation for the curve is $y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=$ const. $\neq y_{1}+y_{2}$, we see this is not a polymatroid.
- On the right, we have a similar situation, just the set of potential values that must have the $y(E)$ condition changes, but the values of course are still not constant.


## Other examples: Polymatroid or not?
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It appears that we have three possible forms of polymatroid in 2D, when neither of the elements $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ are self-dependent.
(1) On the left: full dependence between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$
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## Some possible polymatroid forms in 2D



It appears that we have three possible forms of polymatroid in 2D, when neither of the elements $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ are self-dependent.
(1) On the left: full dependence between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$
(2) In the middle: full independence between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$
(3) On the right: partial independence between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$

- The $P$-bases (or single $P$-base in the middle case) are as indicated.
- Independent vectors are those within or on the boundary of the polytope. Dependent vectors are exterior to the polytope.
- The set of $P$-bases for a polytope is called the base polytope.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

- Note that if $x$ contains any zeros (i.e., suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ has $E \backslash S$ s.t. $x(E \backslash S)=0$, so $S$ indicates the non-zero elements, or $S=\operatorname{supp}(x))$, then this also forces $y(E \backslash S)=0$, so that $y(E)=y(S)$. This is true either for $x \in P$ or $x \notin P$.
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- Therefore, in this case, it is the non-zero elements of $x$, corresponding to elements $S$ (i.e., the support $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ of $x$ ), determine the common component sum.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

- Note that if $x$ contains any zeros (i.e., suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ has $E \backslash S$ s.t. $x(E \backslash S)=0$, so $S$ indicates the non-zero elements, or $S=\operatorname{supp}(x))$, then this also forces $y(E \backslash S)=0$, so that $y(E)=y(S)$. This is true either for $x \in P$ or $x \notin P$.
- Therefore, in this case, it is the non-zero elements of $x$, corresponding to elements $S$ (i.e., the support $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ of $x$ ), determine the common component sum.
- For the case of either $x \notin P$ or right at the boundary of $P$, we might give a "name" to this component sum, lets say $f(S)$ for any given set $S$ of non-zero elements of $x$. We could name $\operatorname{rank}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{1}_{S}\right) \triangleq f(S)$ for $\epsilon$ very small. What kind of function might $f$ be?



## Polymatroid function and its polyhedron.

## Definition 9.4.4

A polymatroid function is a real-valued function $f$ defined on subsets of $E$ which is normalized, non-decreasing, and submodular. That is we have
(1) $f(\emptyset)=0$ (normalized)
(2) $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for any $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(3) $f(A \cup B)+f(A \cap B) \leq f(A)+f(B)$ for any $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)
We can define the polyhedron $P_{f}^{+}$associated with a polymatroid function as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{f}^{+} & =\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}: y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\}  \tag{9.30}\\
& =\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: y \geq 0, y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.31}
\end{align*}
$$

## Associated polyhedron with a polymatroid function

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq f(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Consider this in three dimensions. We have equations of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1} \geq 0 \text { and } x_{2} & \geq 0 \text { and } x_{3} \geq 0  \tag{9.33}\\
x_{1} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right) \\
x_{2} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right) \\
x_{3} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{3}\right\}\right) \\
x_{1}+x_{2} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right) \\
x_{2}+x_{3} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}\right) \\
x_{1}+x_{3} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}\right) \\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} & \leq f\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(9.34)
(9.35)
(9.36)
(9.37)
(9.38)
(9.39)
(9.40)

## Associated polyhedron with a polymatroid function

- Consider the asymmetric graph cut function on the simple chain graph $v_{1}-v_{2}-v_{3}$. That is, $f(S)=|\{(v, s) \in E(G): v \in V, s \in S\}|$ is count of any edges within $S$ or between $S$ and $V \backslash S$, so that $\delta(S)=f(S)+f(V \backslash S)-f(V)$ is the standard graph cut.
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- which axis is which?


## Associated polyhedron with a polymatroid function

- Consider: $f(\emptyset)=0, f\left(\left\{v_{1}\right\}\right)=1.5, f\left(\left\{v_{2}\right\}\right)=2, f\left(\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)=2.5$, $f\left(\left\{v_{3}\right\}\right)=3, f\left(\left\{v_{3}, v_{1}\right\}\right)=3.5, f\left(\left\{v_{3}, v_{2}\right\}\right)=4$, $f\left(\left\{v_{3}, v_{2}, v_{1}\right\}\right)=4.3$.
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- Consider modular function $w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as $w=(1,1.5,2)^{\top}$, and then the submodular function $f(S)=\sqrt{w(S)}$.
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## Associated polytope with a non-submodular function

- Consider function on integers: $g(0)=0, g(1)=3, g(2)=4$, and $g(3)=5.5$.
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## Associated polytope with a non-submodular function

- Consider function on integers: $g(0)=0, g(1)=3, g(2)=4$, and $g(3)=5.5$. Is $f(S)=g(|S|)$ submodular? $f(S)=g(|S|)$ is not submodular since $f\left(\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}\right\}\right)+f\left(\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right)=4+4=8$ but $f\left(\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}\right)+f\left(\left\{e_{1}\right\}\right)=5.5+3=8.5$. Alternatively, consider concavity violation, $1=g(1+1)-g(1)<g(2+1)-g(2)=1.5$.
- Observe: $P_{f}^{+}$(at two views), maximal independent subvectors not constant rank, hence not a polymatroid.
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- Summarizing the above, we have:
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## A polymatroid vs. a polymatroid function's polyhedron

- Summarizing the above, we have:
- Given a polymatroid function $f$, its associated polytope is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}^{+}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}: y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We also have the definition of a polymatroidal polytope $P$ (compact subset, zero containing, down-monotone, and $\forall x$ any maximal independent subvector $y \leq x$ has same component sum $y(E)$ ).
- Is there any relationship between these two polytopes?
- In the next theorem, we show that any $P_{f}^{+}$-basis has the same component sum, when $f$ is a polymatroid function, and $P_{f}^{+}$satisfies the other properties so that $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid.


## A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## Theorem 9.4.5

Let $f$ be a polymatroid function defined on subsets of $E$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, and any $P_{f}^{+}$-basis $y^{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ of $x$, the component sum of $y^{x}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{x}(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x) & =\max \left(y(E): y \leq x, y \in P_{f}^{+}\right) \\
& =\min (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E) \tag{9.42}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid, since r.h.s. is constant w.r.t. $y^{x}$.
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As a consequence, $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid, since r.h.s. is constant w.r.t. $y^{x}$.
By taking $B=\operatorname{supp}(x)$ (so elements $E \backslash B$ are zero in $x$ ), and for $b \in B$, $x(b)$ is big enough, the r.h.s. min has solution $A^{*}=E \backslash B$. We recover submodular function from the polymatroid polyhedron via the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(B)=\max \left\{y(B): y \in P_{f}^{+}\right\} \tag{9.43}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Theorem 9.4.5

Let $f$ be a polymatroid function defined on subsets of $E$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, and any $P_{f}^{+}$-basis $y^{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ of $x$, the component sum of $y^{x}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{x}(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x) & =\max \left(y(E): y \leq x, y \in P_{f}^{+}\right) \\
& =\min (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E) \tag{9.42}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid, since r.h.s. is constant w.r.t. $y^{x}$.
By taking $B=\operatorname{supp}(x)$ (so elements $E \backslash B$ are zero in $x$ ), and for $b \in B$, $x(b)$ is big enough, the r.h.s. min has solution $A^{*}=E \backslash B$. We recover submodular function from the polymatroid polyhedron via the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(B)=\max \left\{y(B): y \in P_{f}^{+}\right\} \tag{9.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we will ultimately see a number of important consequences of this theorem (other than just that $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid)

