Submodular Functions, Optimization, and Applications to Machine Learning — Spring Quarter, Lecture 5 http://j.ee.washington.edu/~bilmes/classes/ee596b\_spring\_2014/

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

University of Washington, Seattle Department of Electrical Engineering http://melodi.ee.washington.edu/~bilmes

#### April 14th, 2014



Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F1/58 (pg.1/223)

#### • Read chapter 1 from Fujishige's book.

Logistics

# Announcements, Assignments, and Reminders

- our room (Mueller Hall Room 154) is changed!
- Please do use our discussion board (https: //canvas.uw.edu/courses/895956/discussion\_topics) for all questions, comments, so that all will benefit from them being answered.
- Weekly Office Hours: Wednesdays, 5:00-5:50, or by skype or google hangout (email me).

Logistics

# Class Road Map - IT-I

- L1 (3/31): Motivation, Applications, & Basic Definitions
- L2: (4/2): Applications, Basic Definitions, Properties
- L3: More examples and properties (e.g., closure properties), and examples, spanning trees
- L4: proofs of equivalent definitions, independence, start matroids
- L5: matroids
- L6:
- L7:
- L8:
- L9:
- L10:

- L11:
- L12:
- L13:
- L14:
- L15:
- L16:
- L17:
- L18:
- L19:
- L20:

Finals Week: June 9th-13th, 2014.

Many (Equivalent) Definitions of Submodularity

$$f(A) + f(B) \ge f(A \cup B) + f(A \cap B), \ \forall A, B \subseteq V$$

$$f(j|S) \ge f(j|T), \ \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V, \text{ with } j \in V \setminus T$$

$$f(C|S) \ge f(C|T), \ \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V, \text{ with } C \subseteq V \setminus T$$

$$f(j|S) \ge f(j|S \cup \{k\}), \ \forall S \subseteq V \text{ with } j \in V \setminus (S \cup \{k\})$$

$$f(A \cup B|A \cap B) \le f(A|A \cap B) + f(B|A \cap B), \ \forall A, B \subseteq V$$

$$(5.10)$$

$$f(T) \le f(S) + \sum_{j \in T \setminus S} f(j|S) - \sum_{j \in S \setminus T} f(j|S \cup T - \{j\}), \ \forall S, T \subseteq V$$

(5.11)

Review

$$f(T) \le f(S) + \sum_{j \in T \setminus S} f(j|S), \ \forall S \subseteq T \subseteq V$$
(5.12)

 $f(T) \leq f(S) - \sum_{j \in S \setminus T} f(j|S \setminus \{j\}) + \sum_{j \in T \setminus S} f(j|S \cap T) \; \forall S, T \subseteq V$ 

		Review

• We saw: column space of a matrix, dimensionality of span of subset of columns as rank function.

			Review

- We saw: column space of a matrix, dimensionality of span of subset of columns as rank function.
- Incidence matrix of (arbitrarily oriented version of) graph G = (V, E), rank of matrix columns F corresponded to spanning tree of edge-induced graph G' = (V', F) where v' are vertices incident to edges in F.

Logistics

		Review
		1 1 1 1

- We saw: column space of a matrix, dimensionality of span of subset of columns as rank function.
- Incidence matrix of (arbitrarily oriented version of) graph G = (V, E), rank of matrix columns F corresponded to spanning tree of edge-induced graph G' = (V', F) where v' are vertices incident to edges in F.
- We saw several different "greedy" algorithms that proced optimal spanning trees (Borůvka's, Jarník/Prim/Dijkstra's, and Kruskal's).

Logistics

				Review

- We saw: column space of a matrix, dimensionality of span of subset of columns as rank function.
- Incidence matrix of (arbitrarily oriented version of) graph G = (V, E), rank of matrix columns F corresponded to spanning tree of edge-induced graph G' = (V', F) where v' are vertices incident to edges in F.
- We saw several different "greedy" algorithms that proced optimal spanning trees (Borůvka's, Jarník/Prim/Dijkstra's, and Kruskal's).
- We wish to more formally connect the above, and generalize further.

Logistics

## From Matrix Rank $\rightarrow$ Matroid

- So V is set of column vector indices of a matrix.
- Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a set of all subsets of V such that for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the vectors indexed by I are linearly independent.
- Given a set  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  of linearly independent vectors, then any subset  $A \subseteq B$  is also linearly independent. Hence,  $\mathcal{I}$  is down-closed or "subclusive", under subsets. In other words,

$$A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{I}$$
(5.32)

• maxInd: Inclusionwise maximal independent subsets (or bases) of any set  $B \subseteq V$ .

 $\mathsf{maxInd}(B) \triangleq \{A \subseteq B : A \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } \forall v \in B \setminus A, A \cup \{v\} \notin \mathcal{I}\}$ (5.33)

 Given any set B ⊂ V of vectors, all maximal (by set inclusion) subsets of linearly independent vectors are the same size. That is, for all B ⊆ V,

$$\forall A_1, A_2 \in \mathsf{maxInd}(B), \quad |A_1| = |A_2| \tag{5.34}$$

Logistics

• Thus, for all  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the matrix rank function has the property

$$r(I) = |I| \tag{5.32}$$

and for any  $B \notin \mathcal{I}$ ,

 $r(B) = \max\left\{|A| : A \subseteq B \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{I}\right\} \le |B|$ (5.33)



Independent set definition of a matroid is perhaps most natural. Note, if  $J \in \mathcal{I}$ , then J is said to be an independent set.



Matroid



• Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.

# Matroids Matroid Ramples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.
- The rank function of a matroid is already a very powerful submodular function (perhaps all we need for many problems).



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.
- The rank function of a matroid is already a very powerful submodular function (perhaps all we need for many problems).
- Understanding matroids crucial for understanding submodularity.



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.
- The rank function of a matroid is already a very powerful submodular function (perhaps all we need for many problems).
- Understanding matroids crucial for understanding submodularity.
- Matroid independent sets (i.e., A s.t. r(A) = |A|) are useful constraint set, and fast algorithms for submodular optimization subject to one (or more) matroid independence constraints exist.



- Abstract properties of linear dependence (Hassler Whitney, 1935), but already then found instances of objects with those properties not based on a matrix.
- Takeo Nakasawa, 1935, also early work.
- Forgotten for 20 years until mid 1950s.
- Matroids are powerful and flexible combinatorial objects.
- The rank function of a matroid is already a very powerful submodular function (perhaps all we need for many problems).
- Understanding matroids crucial for understanding submodularity.
- Matroid independent sets (i.e., A s.t. r(A) = |A|) are useful constraint set, and fast algorithms for submodular optimization subject to one (or more) matroid independence constraints exist.
- Crapo & Rota preferred the term "combinatorial geometry", or more specifically a "pregeometry" and said that pregeometries are "often described by the ineffably cacaphonic [sic] term 'matroid', which we prefer to avoid in favor of the term 'pregeometry'."

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F10/58 (pg.20/223)



Slight modification (non unit increment) that is equivalent.

Definition 5.3.1 (Matroid-II) A set system  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a Matroid if (11')  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ (12')  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$  (or "down-closed") (13')  $\forall I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |I| > |J|, then there exists  $x \in I \setminus J$  such that  $J \cup \{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ 

Note (I1)=(I1'), (I2)=(I2'), and we get  $(I3)\equiv(I3')$  using induction.



#### Matroids, independent sets, and bases

Independent sets: Given a matroid M = (E, I), a subset A ⊆ E is called independent if A ∈ I and otherwise A is called dependent.

## Matroids, independent sets, and bases

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

• Independent sets: Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is called independent if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and otherwise A is called dependent.

Transversal Matroid

Matroid and representation

• A base of  $U \subseteq E$ : For  $U \subseteq E$ , a subset  $B \subseteq U$  is called a base of U if B is inclusionwise maximally independent subset of U. That is,  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  and there is no  $Z \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $B \subset Z \subseteq U$ .

Matroids

## Matroids, independent sets, and bases

• Independent sets: Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is called independent if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and otherwise A is called dependent.

Transversal Matroid

Matroid and representation

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

- A base of  $U \subseteq E$ : For  $U \subseteq E$ , a subset  $B \subseteq U$  is called a base of U if B is inclusionwise maximally independent subset of U. That is,  $B \in \mathcal{I}$  and there is no  $Z \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $B \subset Z \subseteq U$ .
- A base of a matroid: If U = E, then a "base of E" is just called a base of the matroid M (this corresponds to a basis in a linear space).

Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

#### Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

• In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.

## Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.
- In fact, under (11),(12), this condition is equivalent to (13). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.

## Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.
- In fact, under (I1),(I2), this condition is equivalent to (I3). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.

Definition 5.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a Matroid if

## Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.
- In fact, under (I1),(I2), this condition is equivalent to (I3). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.

Definition 5.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a Matroid if

(I1')  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  (emptyset containing)

## Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.
- In fact, under (I1),(I2), this condition is equivalent to (I3). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.

#### Definition 5.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a Matroid if

(I1')  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  (emptyset containing)

(I2')  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$  (down-closed or subclusive)

## Matroids - important property

#### Proposition 5.3.2

In a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , for any  $U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

- In matrix terms, given a set of vectors U, all sets of independent vectors that span the space spanned by U have the same size.
- In fact, under (11),(12), this condition is equivalent to (13). Exercise: show the following is equivalent to the above.

#### Definition 5.3.3 (Matroid)

A set system  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a Matroid if

- (11')  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$  (emptyset containing)
- (I2')  $\forall I \in \mathcal{I}, J \subset I \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{I}$  (down-closed or subclusive)

(13')  $\forall X \subseteq V$ , and  $I_1, I_2 \in \max(X)$ , we have  $|I_1| = |I_2|$  (all maximally independent subsets of X have the same size).

 Matroids
 Matroid Ramples
 Matroid Rank
 Partition Matroid
 System of Distinct Reps
 Transversals
 Transversal
 Matroid
 Matroid and representation

## Matroids - rank

# • Recall, in any matroid $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.

#### Matroids - rank

- Recall, in any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.

#### Matroids - rank

- Recall, in any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.
- $r(E) = r_{(E,\mathcal{I})}$  is the rank of the matroid, and is the common size of all the bases of the matroid.

#### Matroids - rank

- Recall, in any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.
- $r(E) = r_{(E,\mathcal{I})}$  is the rank of the matroid, and is the common size of all the bases of the matroid.
- We can a bit more formally define the rank function this way.

Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Matroids - rank

- Recall, in any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.
- $r(E) = r_{(E,\mathcal{I})}$  is the rank of the matroid, and is the common size of all the bases of the matroid.
- We can a bit more formally define the rank function this way.

#### Definition 5.3.4 (matroid rank function)

The rank of a matroid is a function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  defined by

$$r(A) = \max\left\{ |X| : X \subseteq A, X \in \mathcal{I} \right\} = \max_{X \in \mathcal{I}} |A \cap X|$$
(5.1)
#### Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Matroids - rank

- Recall, n any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.
- $r(E) = r_{(E,\mathcal{I})}$  is the rank of the matroid, and is the common size of all the bases of the matroid.
- We can a bit more formally define the rank function this way.

#### Definition 5.3.4 (matroid rank function)

The rank of a matroid is a function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  defined by

$$r(A) = \max\left\{|X| : X \subseteq A, X \in \mathcal{I}\right\} = \max_{X \in \mathcal{I}} |A \cap X|$$
(5.1)

• From the above, we immediately see that  $r(A) \leq |A|$ .

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Matroids - rank

- Recall, in any matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ ,  $\forall U \subseteq E(M)$ , any two bases of U have the same size.
- The common size of all the bases of U is called the rank of U, denoted  $r_M(U)$  or just r(U) when the matroid in equation is unambiguous.
- $r(E) = r_{(E,\mathcal{I})}$  is the rank of the matroid, and is the common size of all the bases of the matroid.
- We can a bit more formally define the rank function this way.

### Definition 5.3.4 (matroid rank function)

The rank of a matroid is a function  $r:2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  defined by

$$r(A) = \max\left\{|X| : X \subseteq A, X \in \mathcal{I}\right\} = \max_{X \in \mathcal{I}} |A \cap X|$$
(5.1)

- From the above, we immediately see that  $r(A) \leq |A|$ .
- Moreover, if r(A) = |A|, then  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , meaning A is independent (in this case, A is a self base).

Prof. Jeff Bilmes



F15/58 (pg.39/223)



#### Definition 5.3.5 (closed/flat/subspace)

A subset  $A \subseteq E$  is closed (equivalently, a flat or a subspace) of matroid M if for all  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $r(A \cup \{x\}) = r(A) + 1$ .

#### Definition 5.3.6 (closure)

Given  $A \subseteq E$ , the closure (or span) of A, is defined by  $\operatorname{span}(A) = \{b \in E : r(A \cup \{b\}) = r(A)\}.$ 

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation Matroids, other definitions using matroid rank  $r: 2^V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ 

#### Definition 5.3.5 (closed/flat/subspace)

A subset  $A \subseteq E$  is closed (equivalently, a flat or a subspace) of matroid M if for all  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $r(A \cup \{x\}) = r(A) + 1$ .

#### Definition 5.3.6 (closure)

Given  $A \subseteq E$ , the closure (or span) of A, is defined by  $\operatorname{span}(A) = \{b \in E : r(A \cup \{b\}) = r(A)\}.$ 

Therefore, a closed set A has span(A) = A.



#### Definition 5.3.5 (closed/flat/subspace)

A subset  $A \subseteq E$  is closed (equivalently, a flat or a subspace) of matroid M if for all  $x \in E \setminus A$ ,  $r(A \cup \{x\}) = r(A) + 1$ .

#### Definition 5.3.6 (closure)

Given  $A \subseteq E$ , the closure (or span) of A, is defined by  $\operatorname{span}(A) = \{b \in E : r(A \cup \{b\}) = r(A)\}.$ 

Therefore, a closed set A has span(A) = A.

#### Definition 5.3.7 (circuit)

A subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit or a cycle if it is an inclusionwise-minimal dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| - 1$ ).

### Matroids by bases

In general, besides independent sets and rank functions, there are other equivalent ways to characterize matroids.

#### Theorem 5.3.8 (Matroid (by bases))

Let E be a set and B be a nonempty collection of subsets of E. Then the following are equivalent.

- B is the collection of bases of a matroid;
- (2) if  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $x \in B' \setminus B$ , then  $B' x + y \in \mathcal{B}$  for some  $y \in B \setminus B'$ .
- If  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $x \in B' \setminus B$ , then  $B y + x \in \mathcal{B}$  for some  $y \in B \setminus B'$ .

Properties 2 and 3 are called "exchange properties."

### Matroids by bases

In general, besides independent sets and rank functions, there are other equivalent ways to characterize matroids.

#### Theorem 5.3.8 (Matroid (by bases))

Let E be a set and B be a nonempty collection of subsets of E. Then the following are equivalent.

- $\mathcal{B}$  is the collection of bases of a matroid;
- (2) if  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $x \in B' \setminus B$ , then  $B' x + y \in \mathcal{B}$  for some  $y \in B \setminus B'$ .
- If  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , and  $x \in B' \setminus B$ , then  $B y + x \in \mathcal{B}$  for some  $y \in B \setminus B'$ .

Properties 2 and 3 are called "exchange properties." Proof here is omitted but think about this for a moment in terms of linear spaces and matrices, and (alternatively) spanning trees.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

A set is independent if and only if it contains no circuit. Therefore, it is not surprising that circuits can also characterize a matroid.

Theorem 5.3.9 (Matroid by circuits)

Let E be a set and C be a collection of subsets of E that satisfy the following three properties:

- (C1): Ø ∉ C
- (C2): if  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $C_1 \subseteq C_2$ , then  $C_1 = C_2$ .
- (C3): if  $C_1, C_2 \in C$  with  $C_1 \neq C_2$ , and  $C_2 \in C_1 \cap C_2$ , then there exists a  $C_3 \in C$  such that  $C_3 \subseteq (C_1 \cup C_2) \setminus \{e\}$ .



Several circuit definitions for matroids.

#### Theorem 5.3.10 (Matroid by circuits)

Let E be a set and C be a collection of nonempty subsets of E, such that no two sets in C are contained in each other. Then the following are equivalent.

- C is the collection of circuits of a matroid;
- $\circ$  if  $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $x \in C \cap C'$ , then  $(C \cup C') \setminus \{x\}$  contains a set in  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- if  $C, C' \in C$ , and  $x \in C \cap C'$ , and  $y \in C \setminus C'$ , then  $(C \cup C') \setminus \{x\}$  contains a set in C containing y;



- $\bigcirc$  if  $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $x \in C \cap C'$ , then  $(C \cup C') \setminus \{x\}$  contains a set in  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- ◎ if  $C, C' \in C$ , and  $x \in C \cap C'$ , and  $y \in C \setminus C'$ , then  $(C \cup C') \setminus \{x\}$  contains a set in C containing y;

Again, think about this for a moment in terms of linear spaces and matrices, and spanning trees.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes



Inclusionwise-minimal in this case means that if  $C \in C(f)$ , then there exists no  $C' \subset C$  with  $C' \in C(f)$  (i.e.,  $C' \subset C$  would either be empty or have  $f(C') \geq |C'|$ ). Also, recall inclusionwise-minimal in Definition 5.3.7, the definition of a circuit.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

## Uniform Matroid

 Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.



- Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.
- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.



- Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.
- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.
- Note, if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $|I| < |J| \le k$ , and  $j \in J$  such that  $j \notin I$ , then j is such that  $|I + j| \le k$  and so  $I + j \in \mathcal{I}$ .

 Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.
- Note, if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $|I| < |J| \le k$ , and  $j \in J$  such that  $j \notin I$ , then j is such that  $|I + j| \le k$  and so  $I + j \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Rank function

$$r(A) = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } |A| \le k \\ k & \text{if } |A| > k \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

 Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.
- Note, if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $|I| < |J| \le k$ , and  $j \in J$  such that  $j \notin I$ , then j is such that  $|I + j| \le k$  and so  $I + j \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Rank function

$$r(A) = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } |A| \le k \\ k & \text{if } |A| > k \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

• Note, this function is submodular. Not surprising since  $r(A) = \min(|A|, k)$  which is a non-decreasing concave function applied to a modular function.

F20/58 (pg.53/223)

 Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.
- Note, if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $|I| < |J| \le k$ , and  $j \in J$  such that  $j \notin I$ , then j is such that  $|I + j| \le k$  and so  $I + j \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Rank function

$$r(A) = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } |A| \le k \\ k & \text{if } |A| > k \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

- Note, this function is submodular. Not surprising since  $r(A) = \min(|A|, k)$  which is a non-decreasing concave function applied to a modular function.
- Closure function

$$\operatorname{span}(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } |A| < k, \\ E & \text{if } |A| \ge k, \end{cases}$$
(5.4)

 Given E, consider I to be all subsets of E that are at most size k. That is I = {A ⊆ E : |A| ≤ k}.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Then  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid called a k-uniform matroid.
- Note, if  $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $|I| < |J| \le k$ , and  $j \in J$  such that  $j \notin I$ , then j is such that  $|I + j| \le k$  and so  $I + j \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Rank function

$$r(A) = \begin{cases} |A| & \text{if } |A| \le k \\ k & \text{if } |A| > k \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

- Note, this function is submodular. Not surprising since  $r(A) = \min(|A|, k)$  which is a non-decreasing concave function applied to a modular function.
- Closure function

$$\operatorname{span}(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } |A| < k, \\ E & \text{if } |A| \ge k, \end{cases}$$
(5.4)

• A "free" matroid sets k = |E|, so everything is independent.



#### • Let X be an $n \times m$ matrix and $E = \{1, \dots, m\}$

# Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Let X be an  $n \times m$  matrix and  $E = \{1, \dots, m\}$
- Let  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of subsets of E such that if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  then the vectors  $x_{a_1}, x_{a_2}, \ldots, x_{a_k}$  are linearly independent.

# Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation Linear (or Matric) Matroid

- Let X be an  $n \times m$  matrix and  $E = \{1, \dots, m\}$
- Let  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of subsets of E such that if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  then the vectors  $x_{a_1}, x_{a_2}, \ldots, x_{a_k}$  are linearly independent.
- the rank function is just the rank of the space spanned by the corresponding set of vectors.

# Linear (or Matric) Matroid

• Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix and  $E = \{1, \dots, m\}$ 

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

• Let  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of subsets of E such that if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  then the vectors  $x_{a_1}, x_{a_2}, \ldots, x_{a_k}$  are linearly independent.

Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- the rank function is just the rank of the space spanned by the corresponding set of vectors.
- rank is submodular, it is intuitive that it satisfies the diminishing returns property (a given vector can only become linearly dependent in a greater context, thereby no longer contributing to rank).

# Linear (or Matric) Matroid

• Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix and  $E = \{1, \dots, m\}$ 

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

• Let  $\mathcal{I}$  consists of subsets of E such that if  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ , and  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  then the vectors  $x_{a_1}, x_{a_2}, \ldots, x_{a_k}$  are linearly independent.

Transversal Matroid

- the rank function is just the rank of the space spanned by the corresponding set of vectors.
- rank is submodular, it is intuitive that it satisfies the diminishing returns property (a given vector can only become linearly dependent in a greater context, thereby no longer contributing to rank).
- Called both linear matroids and matric matroids.



• Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.



- Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.
- Then  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.



- Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.
- Then  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{I}$  contains all forests.

# Cycle Matroid of a graph: Graphic Matroids

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

- Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.
- Then  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{I}$  contains all forests.
- Bases are spanning forests (spanning trees if G is connected).

# Cycle Matroid of a graph: Graphic Matroids

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

- Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.
- Then  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{I}$  contains all forests.
- Bases are spanning forests (spanning trees if G is connected).
- Rank function r(A) is the size of the largest spanning forest contained in G(V, A).

# Cycle Matroid of a graph: Graphic Matroids

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

- Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Consider  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  where the edges of the graph E are the ground set and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  if the edge-induced graph G(V, A) by A does not contain any cycle.
- Then  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- $\bullet \ \mathcal{I}$  contains all forests.
- Bases are spanning forests (spanning trees if G is connected).
- Rank function r(A) is the size of the largest spanning forest contained in G(V, A).
- Closure function adds all edges between the vertices adjacent to any edge in A. Closure of a spanning forest is G.

# Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

# Example: graphic matroid

• A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F23/58 (pg.67/223)

#### Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation Matroids

# Example: graphic matroid

 A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



# Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Example: graphic matroid

• A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014 F23

#### Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

# Example: graphic matroid

• A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014 F23/58 (pg.70/223)

# Matroid State Stat

# Example: graphic matroid

• A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014 F23/58 (pg.71/223)

# Matroid Statroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

# Example: graphic matroid

• A graph defines a matroid on edge sets, independent sets are those without a cycle.



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014 F23/58 (pg.72/223)


• Let V be our ground set.



- Let V be our ground set.
- Let V = V<sub>1</sub> ∪ V<sub>2</sub> ∪ · · · ∪ V<sub>ℓ</sub> be a partition of V into blocks or disjoint sets (disjoint union). Define a set of subsets of V as

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ X \subseteq V : |X \cap V_i| \le k_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, \ell \}.$$
(5.5)

where  $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$  are fixed parameters,  $k_i \ge 0$ . Then  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.



- Let V be our ground set.
- Let V = V<sub>1</sub> ∪ V<sub>2</sub> ∪ · · · ∪ V<sub>ℓ</sub> be a partition of V into blocks or disjoint sets (disjoint union). Define a set of subsets of V as

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ X \subseteq V : |X \cap V_i| \le k_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, \ell \}.$$
(5.5)

where  $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$  are fixed parameters,  $k_i \ge 0$ . Then  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

• Note that a k-uniform matroid is a trivial example of a partition matroid with  $\ell = 1$ ,  $V_1 = V$ , and  $k_1 = k$ .



- Let V be our ground set.
- Let V = V<sub>1</sub> ∪ V<sub>2</sub> ∪ · · · ∪ V<sub>ℓ</sub> be a partition of V into blocks or disjoint sets (disjoint union). Define a set of subsets of V as

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ X \subseteq V : |X \cap V_i| \le k_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, \ell \}.$$
(5.5)

where  $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$  are fixed parameters,  $k_i \ge 0$ . Then  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

- Note that a k-uniform matroid is a trivial example of a partition matroid with  $\ell = 1$ ,  $V_1 = V$ , and  $k_1 = k$ .
- We'll show that property (I3') in Def 5.3.3 holds. If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$  with |Y| > |X|, then there must be at least one *i* with  $|Y \cap V_i| > |X \cap V_i|$ . Therefore, adding one element  $e \in V_i \cap (Y \setminus X)$  to X won't break independence.



### Partition Matroid

Ground set of objects, V =





### Partition Matroid

Partition of V into six blocks,  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_6$ 



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

### Partition Matroid

### Limit associated with each block, $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_6\}$



### Partition Matroid

#### Independent subset but not maximally independent.



### Partition Matroid

#### Maximally independent subset, what is called a base.



#### Not independent since over limit in set six.



Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F25/58 (pg.82/223)



F26/58 (pg.83/223)



### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- Let  $X \in \mathcal{I}$  be an inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq A \cap B$
- ② Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ . (We can find such a  $Y \supseteq X$  because, starting from  $X \subseteq A \cup B$ , and since  $|Y| \ge |X|$ , we can choose a  $y \in Y \subseteq A \cup B$  such that  $X + y \in \mathcal{I}$  but since  $y \in A \cup B$ , also  $X + y \in A \cup B$ . We can keep doing this while |Y| > |X| since this is a matroid.)

### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- **()** Let  $X \in \mathcal{I}$  be an inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq A \cap B$
- **2** Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- Since M is a matroid, we know that  $r(A \cap B) = r(X) = |X|$ , and  $r(A \cup B) = r(Y) = |Y|$ . Also, for any  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $r(A) \ge |A \cap U|$ .

### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- $\textbf{0} \quad \text{Let } X \in \mathcal{I} \text{ be an inclusionwise maximal set with } X \subseteq A \cap B$
- 2 Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- Since M is a matroid, we know that  $r(A \cap B) = r(X) = |X|$ , and  $r(A \cup B) = r(Y) = |Y|$ . Also, for any  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $r(A) \ge |A \cap U|$ .
- Then we have

$$r(A) + r(B) \tag{5.6}$$

### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- $\textbf{0} Let X \in \mathcal{I} be an inclusionwise maximal set with X \subseteq A \cap B$
- 2 Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- Since M is a matroid, we know that  $r(A \cap B) = r(X) = |X|$ , and  $r(A \cup B) = r(Y) = |Y|$ . Also, for any  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $r(A) \ge |A \cap U|$ .
- Then we have

$$r(A) + r(B) \ge |Y \cap A| + |Y \cap B|$$
(5.6)

### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- 2 Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- Since M is a matroid, we know that  $r(A \cap B) = r(X) = |X|$ , and  $r(A \cup B) = r(Y) = |Y|$ . Also, for any  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $r(A) \ge |A \cap U|$ .
- Then we have

$$r(A) + r(B) \ge |Y \cap A| + |Y \cap B|$$
(5.6)  
= |Y \cap (A \cap B)| + |Y \cap (A \cup B)| (5.7)

### Matroids - rank

#### Lemma 5.5.1

The rank function  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  of a matroid is submodular, that is  $r(A) + r(B) \ge r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B)$ 

- Let  $X \in \mathcal{I}$  be an inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq A \cap B$
- 2 Let  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  be inclusionwise maximal set with  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \cup B$ .
- Since M is a matroid, we know that  $r(A \cap B) = r(X) = |X|$ , and  $r(A \cup B) = r(Y) = |Y|$ . Also, for any  $U \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $r(A) \ge |A \cap U|$ .





- So submodularity and non-negative monotone non-decreasing, and unit increase is necessary and sufficient to define the matroid.
- Given above, unit increment (if r(A) = k, then either  $r(A \cup \{v\}) = k$  or  $r(A \cup \{v\}) = k + 1$ ) holds.
- A matroid is sometimes given as (E, r) where E is ground set and r is rank function.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes



In fact, we can use the rank of a matroid for its definition.

#### Theorem 5.5.2 (Matroid from rank)

Let *E* be a set and let  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  be a function. Then  $r(\cdot)$  defines a matroid with *r* being its rank function if and only if for all  $A, B \subseteq E$ : (R1)  $\forall A \subseteq E \ 0 \leq r(A) \leq |A|$  (non-negative cardinality bounded) (R2)  $r(A) \leq r(B)$  whenever  $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$  (monotone non-decreasing) (R3)  $r(A \cup B) + r(A \cap B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$  for all  $A, B \subseteq E$  (submodular)

From above, r(Ø) = 0. Let v ∉ A, then by monotonicity and submodularity, r(A) ≤ r(A ∪ {v}) ≤ r(A) + r({v}) which gives only two possible values to r(A ∪ {v}).

$$+r(p)=0$$



### Matroids from rank

#### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

• Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.

•••

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

•••

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .

. .

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\bullet\,$  Also, if  $Y\in\mathcal{I}$  and  $X\subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,

. .

### Matroids from rank

#### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ . • Also, if  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,  $r(Y \setminus X) + r(X) \ge r(Y) + r(X) \ge r(Y) + r(X) \ge r(Y)$ (5.9)

. . .

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Also, if  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,

$$r(X) \ge r(Y) - r(Y \setminus X) - r(\emptyset)$$
(5.9)

. .

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

r

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Also, if  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,

$$X) \ge r(Y) - r(Y \setminus X) - r(Y)$$

$$\ge |Y| - |Y \setminus X|$$
(5.9)
(5.10)

. .

### Matroids from rank

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Also, if  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,

$$r(X) \ge r(Y) - r(Y \setminus X) - r(\emptyset)$$

$$\ge |Y| - |Y \setminus X|$$

$$= |X|$$

$$r(X) \le |X|, \quad r(X) \ge |X|$$

$$(5.10)$$

$$(5.11)$$

$$(5.11)$$

### Matroids from rank

### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank).

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$ , we see its rank function as defined in Eq. 5.1 satisfies (R1), (R2), and, as we saw in Lemma 5.5.1, (R3) too.
- Next, assume we have (R1), (R2), and (R3). Define  $\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : r(X) = |X|\}$ . We will show that  $(E, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.
- First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ .
- Also, if  $Y \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $X \subseteq Y$  then by submodularity,

$$r(X) \ge r(Y) - r(Y \setminus X) - r(\emptyset)$$
(5.9)

$$\geq |Y| - |Y \setminus X| \tag{5.10}$$

$$=|X| \tag{5.11}$$

implying r(X) = |X|, and thus  $X \in \mathcal{I}$ .



### Matroids from rank



EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

### Matroids from rank

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

### Matroids from rank

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$r(B) \le r(A \cup B) \tag{5.12}$$



### Matroids from rank

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note k < |B|).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A+b) \notin \mathcal{I}$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then



### Matroids from rank

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$r(B) \le r(A \cup B)$$

$$\le r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) + r(A \cup \{b_1\}) - r(A)$$

$$= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\}))$$
(5.12)
(5.13)
(5.14)

### Matroids from rank

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin \mathcal{I}$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$r(B) \leq r(A \cup B)$$

$$\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) + r(A \cup \{b_1\}) - r(A)$$

$$= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\}))$$

$$\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) + r(A \cup \{b_2\}) - r(A)$$

$$(5.14)$$

$$(5.15)$$

### Matroids from rank

#### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
r(B) &\leq r(A \cup B) & (5.12) \\
&\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) + r(A \cup \{b_1\}) - r(A) & (5.13) \\
&= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\}) & (5.14) \\
&\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) + r(A \cup \{b_2\}) - r(A) & (5.15) \\
&= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) & (5.16)
\end{aligned}$$

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014
#### Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Matroids from rank

#### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(B) &\leq r(A \cup B) &(5.12) \\
 &\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) + r(A \cup \{b_1\}) - r(A) &(5.13) \\
 &= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) &(5.14) \\
 &\leq r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) + r(A \cup \{b_2\}) - r(A) &(5.15) \\
 &= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) &(5.16) \\
 &< \dots &< r(A) = |A| < |B| &(5.17) \\
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

## Matroids from rank

#### Proof of Theorem 5.5.2 (matroid from rank) cont.

- Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ , with |A| < |B|, so r(A) = |A| < r(B) = |B|. Let  $B \setminus A = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  (note  $k \le |B|$ ).
- Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\forall b \in B \setminus A$ ,  $r(A + b) \notin I$ , which means for all such b, r(A + b) = r(A) = |A|. Then

$$r(B) \le r(A \cup B)$$

$$\le r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})) + r(A \cup \{b_1\}) - r(A)$$

$$= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1\})$$

$$\le r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) + r(A \cup \{b_2\}) - r(A)$$

$$= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\})) + r(A \cup \{b_2\}) - r(A)$$

$$= r(A \cup (B \setminus \{b_1, b_2\}))$$

$$\le \dots \le r(A) = |A| < |B|$$
(5.17)

giving a contradiction since  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .



Another way of using function r to define a matroid.

#### Theorem 5.5.3 (Matroid from rank II)

Let E be a finite set and let  $r: 2^E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  be a function. Then  $r(\cdot)$  defines a matroid with r being its rank function if and only if for all  $A \subseteq E$ , and  $x, y \in E$ :



## Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Matroid and Rank

- Thus, we can define a matroid as M = (V, r) where r satisfies matroid rank axioms.
- Example: 2-partition matroid rank function: Given natural numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with a > b, and any set  $R \subseteq V$  with |R| = a, two-block partition  $V = (R, \overline{R})$ , where  $\overline{R} = V \setminus R$ , define:

$$r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + \min(|A \cap \bar{R}|, |\bar{R}|)$$
(5.18)  
= min(|A \circ R|, b) + |A \circ \bar{R}| (5.19)

• Partition matroid figure showing this:





• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min \left\{ \min(|A \cap \bar{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \bar{R}| + b), a \right\}$$
 (5.21)

$$= \min\{|A|, b + |A \cap \bar{R}|, a\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

 $\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$ (5.23)

Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min \left\{ \min(|A \cap \bar{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \bar{R}| + b), a \right\}$$
 (5.21)

Transversal Matroid

$$= \min\{|A|, b + |A \cap \bar{R}|, a\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$$
(5.23)

• Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization. Consider sets  $B \subseteq V$  with |B| = a.

• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

xamples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min \left\{ \min(|A \cap \bar{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \bar{R}| + b), a \right\}$$
 (5.21)

Transversal Matroid

$$= \min\{|A|, b + |A \cap \bar{R}|, a\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$$
(5.23)

- Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization. Consider sets B ⊆ V with |B| = a.
- For R, we have  $f_R(R) = b < a$ .

• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min \left\{ \min(|A \cap \bar{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \bar{R}| + b), a \right\}$$
 (5.21)

Transversal Matroid

$$=\min\left\{|A|, b+|A\cap\bar{R}|, a\right\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$$
(5.23)

- Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization. Consider sets B ⊆ V with |B| = a.
- For R, we have  $f_R(R) = b < a$ .
- For any B with  $|B \cap R| \leq b$ ,  $f_R(B) = a$ .

٠

• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min \left\{ \min(|A \cap \bar{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \bar{R}| + b), a \right\}$$
 (5.21)

Transversal Matroid

$$= \min\{|A|, b + |A \cap \bar{R}|, a\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$$
(5.23)

- Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization. Consider sets B ⊆ V with |B| = a.
- For R, we have  $f_R(R) = b < a$ .
- For any B with  $|B \cap R| \leq b$ ,  $f_R(B) = a$ .
- For any B with  $|B \cap R| = \ell$ , with  $b < \ell < a$ ,  $f_R(B) = b + a \ell$ .

• Can use this to define a truncated matroid rank function. With  $r(A) = \min(|A \cap R|, b) + |A \cap \overline{R}|$ , b < a, define:

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

$$f_R(A) = \min\{r(A), a\}$$
 (5.20)

$$= \min\left\{\min(|A \cap \overline{R}| + |A \cap R|, |A \cap \overline{R}| + b), a\right\}$$
(5.21)

$$= \min\left\{ |A|, b + (A \cap \overline{R}), a \right\}$$
(5.22)

• Defines a matroid  $M = (V, f_R) = (V, \mathcal{I})$  (Goemans et. al.) with

$$\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq V : |I| \le a \text{ and } |I \cap R| \le b \},$$
(5.23)

- Useful for showing hardness of constrained submodular minimization. Consider sets B ⊆ V with |B| = a.
- For R, we have  $f_R(R) = b < a$ .
- For any R with  $|B \cap R| \le b$ ,  $f_R(B) = a$ .
- For any B with  $|B \cap R| = \ell$ , with  $b < \ell < a$ ,  $f_R(B) = b + a \ell$ .
- *R*, the set with minimum valuation amongst size-*a* sets, is hidden within an exponentially larger set of size-*a* sets with larger valuation.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes



• Independence (define the independent sets).



- Independence (define the independent sets).
- Base axioms (exchangeability)



- Independence (define the independent sets).
- Base axioms (exchangeability)
- Circuit axioms



- Independence (define the independent sets).
- Base axioms (exchangeability)
- Circuit axioms
- Closure axioms (we didn't see this, but it is possible)



- Independence (define the independent sets).
- Base axioms (exchangeability)
- Circuit axioms
- Closure axioms (we didn't see this, but it is possible)
- Rank axioms (normalized, monotone, cardinality bounded, submodular)

# Maximization problems for matroids

xamples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

- Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  and a modular cost function  $c: E \to \mathbb{R}$ , the task is to find an  $X \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $c(X) = \sum_{x \in X} c(x)$  is maximum.
- This seems remarkably similar to the max spanning tree problem.

Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

## Minimization problems for matroids

• Given a matroid  $M = (E, \mathcal{I})$  and a modular cost function  $c : E \to \mathbb{R}$ , the task is to find a basis  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that c(B) is minimized.

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

Transversal Matroid

• This sounds like a set cover problem (find the minimum cost covering set of sets).



#### • What is the partition matroid's rank function?



- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
 (5.24)



- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.24)



- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.24)

- $IA \cap V_i | \text{ is submodular (in fact modular) in } A$
- 2 min(submodular(A),  $k_i$ ) is submodular in A since  $|A \cap V_i|$  is monotone.



- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.24)

- $|A \cap V_i|$  is submodular (in fact modular) in A
- 2 min(submodular(A), k<sub>i</sub>) is submodular in A since |A ∩ V<sub>i</sub>| is monotone.
- sums of submodular functions are submodular.



- What is the partition matroid's rank function?
- A partition matroids rank function:

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.24)

- $\textcircled{1}{A \cap V_i} \text{ is submodular (in fact modular) in } A$ 
  - 2 min(submodular(A), k<sub>i</sub>) is submodular in A since |A ∩ V<sub>i</sub>| is monotone.
- sums of submodular functions are submodular.
- r(A) is also non-negative integral monotone non-decreasing, so it defines a matroid (the partition matroid).

## Partition Matroid, rank as matching

A partition matroid can be viewed using a bipartite graph.

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals

Transversal Matroid

- Letting V denote the ground set, and  $V_1, V_2, \ldots$  the partition, the graph is G = (V, I, E) where V is the ground set, I is a set of "indices", and E is the set of edges.
- $I = (I_1, I_2, ..., I_\ell)$  is a set of  $k = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i$  nodes, grouped into  $\ell$  clusters, where there are  $k_i$  nodes in the *i*<sup>th</sup> group  $I_i$ .

|T| = h

•  $(v,i) \in E(G)$  iff  $v \in V_j$  and  $i \in I_j$ .

 $|T_i| = h_i$ 

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Partition Matroid, rank as matching



Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- Example where  $\ell = 5$ ,  $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) =$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3). $I_1$  $V_1$  $I_2$  $V_2$ Va  $I_3$ V4  $I_{\Lambda}$  $V_{5}$  $1_{5}$
- Recall,  $\Gamma : 2^V \to \mathbb{R}$  as the neighbor function in a bipartite graph, the neighbors of X is defined as  $\Gamma(X) =$  $\{v \in V(G) \setminus X : E(X, \{v\}) \neq \emptyset\}$ , and recall that  $|\Gamma(X)|$  is submodular.

Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- Example where  $\ell = 5$ ,  $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) =$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3). $I_1$  $V_1$  $I_2$  $V_2$ Va  $I_3$ V4  $I_{\Lambda}$  $V_{5}$  $1_{5}$
- Recall,  $\Gamma : 2^V \to \mathbb{R}$  as the neighbor function in a bipartite graph, the neighbors of X is defined as  $\Gamma(X) =$  $\{v \in V(G) \setminus X : E(X, \{v\}) \neq \emptyset\}$ , and recall that  $|\Gamma(X)|$  is submodular.

• Here, for 
$$X \subseteq V$$
, we have  $\Gamma(X) = \{i \in I : (v, i) \in E(G) \text{ and } v \in X\}.$ 

Aatroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Partition Matroid, rank as matching

- Example where  $\ell = 5$ ,  $(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5) =$ (2, 2, 1, 1, 3). $I_1$  $V_1$  $I_2$  $V_2$ Va  $I_3$ V٨  $V_{5}$  $1_{5}$
- Recall,  $\Gamma : 2^V \to \mathbb{R}$  as the neighbor function in a bipartite graph, the neighbors of X is defined as  $\Gamma(X) =$  $\{v \in V(G) \setminus X : E(X, \{v\}) \neq \emptyset\}$ , and recall that  $|\Gamma(X)|$  is submodular.

• Here, for 
$$X \subseteq V$$
, we have  $\Gamma(X) = \{i \in I : (v,i) \in E(G) \text{ and } v \in X\}.$ 

• For such a constructed bipartite graph, the rank function of a partition matroid is  $r(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|X \cap V_i|, k_i) =$ the maximum matching involving X.



#### • We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.

# Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Laminar Matroid

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
- A set system  $(V, \mathcal{F})$  is called a laminar family if for any two sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , at least one of the three sets  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ , or  $B \setminus A$  is empty.



# Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

## Laminar Matroid

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
- A set system  $(V, \mathcal{F})$  is called a laminar family if for any two sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , at least one of the three sets  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ , or  $B \setminus A$  is empty.



Family is laminar if it has no two "properly intersecting" members:
 i.e., intersecting A ∩ B ≠ Ø and not comparable (one is not contained in the other).

## Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

### Laminar Matroid

empty

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
- A set system  $(V, \mathcal{F})$  is called a laminar family if for any two sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , at least one of the three sets  $A \cap B$ ,  $A \setminus B$ , or  $B \setminus A$  is

- Family is laminar if it has no two "properly intersecting" members: i.e., intersecting  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and not comparable (one is not contained in the other).
- Suppose we have a laminar family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of V and an integer k(A) for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

# Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

## Laminar Matroid

- We can define a matroid with structures richer than just partitions.
- A set system (V, F) is called a laminar family if for any two sets A, B ∈ F, at least one of the three sets A ∩ B, A \ B, or B \ A is empty.

- Family is laminar if it has no two "properly intersecting" members: i.e., intersecting  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and not comparable (one is not contained in the other).
- Suppose we have a laminar family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of V and an integer k(A) for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- Then  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  defines a matroid where

 $\mathcal{I} = \{ I \subseteq E : |\mathcal{I} \cap A| \le k(A) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F} \}$ 

(5.25) F39/58 (pg.142/223)



#### System of Representatives

• Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .

## Matroids Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### System of Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \to I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ .

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \to I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ .
- v<sub>i</sub> is the representative of set (or group) V<sub>π(i)</sub>, meaning the i<sup>th</sup> representative is meant to represent set V<sub>π(i)</sub>.

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \to I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ .
- v<sub>i</sub> is the representative of set (or group) V<sub>π(i)</sub>, meaning the i<sup>th</sup> representative is meant to represent set V<sub>π(i)</sub>.
- Example: Consider the house of representatives,  $v_i =$  "Jim McDermott, while i = "King County, WA-7".

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \to I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ .
- v<sub>i</sub> is the representative of set (or group) V<sub>π(i)</sub>, meaning the i<sup>th</sup> representative is meant to represent set V<sub>π(i)</sub>.
- Example: Consider the house of representatives,  $v_i =$  "Jim McDermott, while i = "King County, WA-7".
- In a system of representatives, there is no requirement for the representatives to be distinct. I.e., we could have some  $v_1 \in V_1 \cap V_2$ , where  $v_1$  represents both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

### System of Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  where  $\emptyset \subset V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- Here, the sets V<sub>i</sub> ∈ V are like "groups" and any v ∈ V with v ∈ V<sub>i</sub> is a member of group i. Groups need not be disjoint (e.g., interest groups of individuals).
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \to I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ .
- v<sub>i</sub> is the representative of set (or group) V<sub>π(i)</sub>, meaning the i<sup>th</sup> representative is meant to represent set V<sub>π(i)</sub>.
- Example: Consider the house of representatives,  $v_i =$  "Jim McDermott, while i = "King County, WA-7".
- In a system of representatives, there is no requirement for the representatives to be distinct. I.e., we could have some  $v_1 \in V_1 \cap V_2$ , where  $v_1$  represents both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .
- We can view this as a bipartite graph.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

### System of Representatives

• We can view this as a bipartite graph. The groups of V are marked by color tags on the left, and also via right neighbors in the graph.

• Here, 
$$\ell = 6$$
 groups, with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_6)$   
=  $(\{e, f, h\}, \{d, e, g\}, \{b, c, e, h\}, \{a, b, h\}, \{a\}, \{a\})$ 



### System of Representatives

- We can view this as a bipartite graph. The groups of V are marked by color tags on the left, and also via right neighbors in the graph.
- Here,  $\ell = 6$  groups, with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_6)$ =  $(\{e, f, h\}, \{d, e, g\}, \{b, c, e, h\}, \{a, b, h\}, \{a\}, \{a\}).$



• A system of representatives would make sure that there is a representative for each color group. For example,

- We can view this as a bipartite graph. The groups of V are marked by color tags on the left, and also via right neighbors in the graph.
- Here,  $\ell = 6$  groups, with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_6)$ =  $(\{e, f, h\}, \{d, e, g\}, \{b, c, e, h\}, \{a, b, h\}, \{a\}, \{a\}).$



- A system of representatives would make sure that there is a representative for each color group. For example,
- The representatives are shown as colors on the left.

- We can view this as a bipartite graph. The groups of V are marked by color tags on the left, and also via right neighbors in the graph.
- Here,  $\ell = 6$  groups, with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_6)$ =  $(\{e, f, h\}, \{d, e, g\}, \{b, c, e, h\}, \{a, b, h\}, \{a\}, \{a\}).$



- A system of representatives would make sure that there is a representative for each color group. For example,
- The representatives are shown as colors on the left.
- Here, the set of representatives is not distinct. In fact, due to the red and pink group, a distinct group of representatives is impossible (since there is only one common choice to represent both color groups).

### System of Distinct Representatives

• Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_k : i \in I)$  where  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .

### System of Distinct Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_k : i \in I)$  where  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all i), and I is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of distinct representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \leftrightarrow I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ and  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

### System of Distinct Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_k : i \in I)$  where  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all i), and I is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of distinct representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \leftrightarrow I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ and  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .
- In a system of distinct representatives, there is a requirement for the representatives to be distinct. Lets re-state (and rename) this as a:

### System of Distinct Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_k : i \in I)$  where  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of distinct representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \leftrightarrow I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ and  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .
- In a system of distinct representatives, there is a requirement for the representatives to be distinct. Lets re-state (and rename) this as a:

#### Definition 5.7.1 (transversal)

Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  as defined above, a set  $T \subseteq V$  is a transversal of  $\mathcal{V}$  if there is a bijection  $\pi : T \leftrightarrow I$  such that

$$x \in V_{\pi(x)}$$
 for all  $x \in T$  (5.26)

### System of Distinct Representatives

- Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  be a set system (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V} = (V_k : i \in I)$  where  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*), and *I* is an index set. Hence,  $|I| = |\mathcal{V}|$ .
- A family  $(v_i : i \in I)$  with  $v_i \in V$  is said to be a system of distinct representatives of  $\mathcal{V}$  if  $\exists$  a bijection  $\pi : I \leftrightarrow I$  such that  $v_i \in V_{\pi(i)}$ and  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .
- In a system of distinct representatives, there is a requirement for the representatives to be distinct. Lets re-state (and rename) this as a:

#### Definition 5.7.1 (transversal)

Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  as defined above, a set  $T \subseteq V$  is a transversal of  $\mathcal{V}$  if there is a bijection  $\pi : T \leftrightarrow I$  such that

$$x \in V_{\pi(x)}$$
 for all  $x \in T$  (5.26)

• Note that due to it being a bijection, all of *I* and *T* are "covered" (so this makes things distinct).

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F42/58 (pg.158/223)



### Transversals are Subclusive

 A set X ⊆ V is a partial transversal if X is a transversal of some subfamily V' = (V<sub>i</sub> : i ∈ I') where I' ⊆ I.



### Transversals are Subclusive

- A set X ⊆ V is a partial transversal if X is a transversal of some subfamily V' = (V<sub>i</sub> : i ∈ I') where I' ⊆ I.
- Therefore, for any transversal T, any subset  $T' \subseteq T$  is a partial transversal.



### Transversals are Subclusive

- A set X ⊆ V is a partial transversal if X is a transversal of some subfamily V' = (V<sub>i</sub> : i ∈ I') where I' ⊆ I.
- Therefore, for any transversal T, any subset  $T' \subseteq T$  is a partial transversal.
- Thus, transversals are down closed (subclusive).



### When do transversals exist?

• As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?

### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular).

### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular).  ${\bullet}\,$  We have

#### Theorem 5.8.1 (Hall's theorem)

Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , the family of subsets  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$  has a transversal  $(v_i : i \in I)$  iff for all  $J \subseteq I$ 

$$|V(J)| \ge |J| \tag{5.28}$$

### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all i. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular). • Hall's theorem  $(\forall J, |V(J)| \ge |J|)$  as a bipartite graph.



### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular). • Hall's theorem  $(\forall J, |V(J)| \ge |J|)$  as a bipartite graph.



### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular).  $\bullet$  Moreover, we have

#### Theorem 5.8.2 (Rado's theorem)

If M = (V, r) is a matroid on V with rank function r, then the family of subsets  $(V_i : i \in I)$  of V has a transversal  $(v_i : i \in I)$  that is independent in M iff for all  $J \subseteq I$ 

$$r(V(J)) \ge |J| \tag{5.29}$$

### When do transversals exist?

- As we saw, a transversal might not always exist. How to tell?
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , and  $V_i \subseteq V$  for all *i*. Then, for any  $J \subseteq I$ , let

$$V(J) = \cup_{j \in J} V_j \tag{5.27}$$

so |V(J)| is the set cover function (which we know is submodular).  $\bullet$  Moreover, we have

#### Theorem 5.8.2 (Rado's theorem)

If M = (V, r) is a matroid on V with rank function r, then the family of subsets  $(V_i : i \in I)$  of V has a transversal  $(v_i : i \in I)$  that is independent in M iff for all  $J \subseteq I$ 

$$r(V(J)) \ge |J| \tag{5.29}$$

• Note, a transversal T independent in M means that r(T) = |T|.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F44/58 (pg.168/223)



#### Theorem 5.8.3

If  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : I \in I)$  is a finite family of non-empty subsets of V, and  $f : 2^V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  is a non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing, and submodular function, then  $\mathcal{V}$  has a system of representatives  $(v_i : i \in I)$  such that

$$f(\bigcup_{i \in J} \{v_i\}) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I$$
(5.30)

if and only if

$$f(V(J)) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I \tag{5.31}$$

### More general conditions for existence of transversals

#### Theorem 5.8.3

If  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : I \in I)$  is a finite family of non-empty subsets of V, and  $f : 2^V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  is a non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing, and submodular function, then  $\mathcal{V}$  has a system of representatives  $(v_i : i \in I)$  such that

$$f(\bigcup_{i \in J} \{v_i\}) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I$$
(5.30)

if and only if

$$f(V(J)) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I \tag{5.31}$$

• Given Theorem 5.8.3, we immediately get Theorem 5.8.1 by taking f(S) = |S| for  $S \subseteq V$ . In which case, Eq. 5.30 requires the system of representatives to be distinct.



#### Theorem 5.8.3

If  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : I \in I)$  is a finite family of non-empty subsets of V, and  $f : 2^V \to \mathbb{Z}_+$  is a non-negative, integral, monotone non-decreasing, and submodular function, then  $\mathcal{V}$  has a system of representatives  $(v_i : i \in I)$  such that

$$f(\bigcup_{i \in J} \{v_i\}) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I$$
(5.30)

if and only if

$$f(V(J)) \ge |J| \text{ for all } J \subseteq I \tag{5.31}$$

- Given Theorem 5.8.3, we immediately get Theorem 5.8.1 by taking f(S) = |S| for  $S \subseteq V$ .
- We get Theorem 5.8.2 by taking f(S) = r(S) for  $S \subseteq V$ , the rank function of the matroid. *where*, Eq. 5.30 insists the system of

representatives is independent in M.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

#### first part proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

• Suppose Eq. 5.30 is true. Then since f is monotone, and since  $V(J) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in J} \{v_i\}$  when  $(v_i : i \in I)$  is a system of representatives, then Eq. 5.31 immediately follows.



#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31 ( $f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I$ ) is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets ( $V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|}$ ) also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

• When Eq. 5.31 and the above holds, this means that for any subsets  $J_1, J_2 \subseteq I \setminus \{1\}$ , we have that

$f(V_1 \cup V(J_1)) \ge  J_1  + 1$	(5.32)
$f(V_1 \cup V(J_2)) \ge  J_2  + 1$	(5.33)

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014



#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31 ( $f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I$ ) is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets ( $V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|}$ ) also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

• Suppose, to the contrary, the consequent is false. Then we may take  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in V_1$  as two distinct elements in  $V_1 \ldots$ 

# More general conditions for existence of transversals

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31 ( $f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I$ ) is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets ( $V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|}$ ) also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

- Suppose, to the contrary, the consequent is false. Then we may take  $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2 \in V_1$  as two distinct elements in  $V_1 \ldots$
- and there must exist subsets  $J_1, J_2$  of  $I \setminus \{1\}$  such that

$$f((V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}_1\}) \cup V(J_1)) < |J_1| + 1,$$

$$f((V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}_2\}) \cup V(J_2)) < |J_2| + 1,$$
(5.34)
(5.35)

(note that either one or both of  $J_1, J_2$  could be empty).

Prof. Jeff Bilmes



#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31  $(f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I)$  is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets  $(V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|})$  also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

• Taking  $X = (V_1 \setminus \{\overline{v}_1\}) \cup V(J_1)$  and  $Y = (V_1 \setminus \{\overline{v}_2\}) \cup V(J_2)$ , we have  $f(X) < |J_1|$ ,  $f(Y) < |J_2|$ , and that:

$$X \cup Y = V_1 \cup V(J_1 \cup J_2),$$
 (5.36)

$$X \cap Y \supseteq V(J_1 \cap J_2), \tag{5.37}$$

and



Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014



#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31 ( $f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I$ ) is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets ( $V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|}$ ) also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

• since f submodular monotone non-decreasing, & Eqs 5.32-5.35,

$$|J_1| + |J_2| \ge f(V_1 \cup V(J_1 \cup J_2)) + f(V(J_1 \cap J_2))$$
(5.39)

# More general conditions for existence of transversals

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

#### Lemma 5.8.4

Suppose Eq. 5.31 ( $f(V(J)) \ge |J|, \forall J \subseteq I$ ) is true for  $\mathcal{V}$ , and there exists an i such that  $|V_i| \ge 2$  (w.l.o.g., say i = 1). Then there exists  $\bar{v} \in V_1$ such that the family of subsets ( $V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \ldots, V_{|I|}$ ) also satisfies Eq 5.31.

#### Proof.

• since f submodular monotone non-decreasing, & Eqs 5.32-5.35,

$$|J_1| + |J_2| \ge f(V_1 \cup V(J_1 \cup J_2)) + f(V(J_1 \cap J_2))$$
(5.39)

• Since  $\mathcal V$  satisfies Eq. 5.31,  $1 \notin J_1 \cup J_2$ , & Eqs 5.32-5.33, this gives

$$|J_1| + |J_2| \ge |J_1 \cup J_2| + 1 + |J_1 \cap J_2|$$
(5.40)

which is a contradiction since cardinality is modular.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014



#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

• Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.



### More general conditions for existence of transversals

#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.
- If each  $V_i$  is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.


#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.
- If each  $V_i$  is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.
- W.I.o.g., let  $|V_1| \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 5.8.4, the family of subsets  $(V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \dots, V_{|I|})$  also satisfies Eq 5.31 for the right  $\bar{v}$ .



Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.
- If each  $V_i$  is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.
- W.I.o.g., let  $|V_1| \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 5.8.4, the family of subsets  $(V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \dots, V_{|I|})$  also satisfies Eq 5.31 for the right  $\bar{v}$ .
- We can continue to reduce the family, deleting elements from  $V_i$  for some *i* while  $|V_i| \ge 2$ , until we arrive at a family of singleton sets.

Matroid and representation

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.
- If each  $V_i$  is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.
- W.I.o.g., let  $|V_1| \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 5.8.4, the family of subsets  $(V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \dots, V_{|I|})$  also satisfies Eq 5.31 for the right  $\bar{v}$ .
- We can continue to reduce the family, deleting elements from  $V_i$  for some *i* while  $|V_i| \ge 2$ , until we arrive at a family of singleton sets.
- This family will be the required system of representatives.

Matroid and representation

## More general conditions for existence of transversals

Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

#### converse proof of Theorem 5.8.3.

- Conversely, suppose Eq. 5.31 is true.
- If each  $V_i$  is a singleton set, then the result follows immediately.
- W.I.o.g., let  $|V_1| \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 5.8.4, the family of subsets  $(V_1 \setminus \{\bar{v}\}, V_2, \dots, V_{|I|})$  also satisfies Eq 5.31 for the right  $\bar{v}$ .
- We can continue to reduce the family, deleting elements from  $V_i$  for some *i* while  $|V_i| \ge 2$ , until we arrive at a family of singleton sets.
- This family will be the required system of representatives.

This theorem can be used to produce a variety of other results quite easily, and shows how submodularity is the key ingredient in its truth.



Transversals, themselves, define a matroid.

#### Theorem 5.9.1

If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a family of finite subsets of a ground set V, then the collection of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of independent sets of a matroid  $M = (V, \mathcal{V})$  on V.



Transversals, themselves, define a matroid.

#### Theorem 5.9.1

If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a family of finite subsets of a ground set V, then the collection of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of independent sets of a matroid  $M = (V, \mathcal{V})$  on V.

• This means that the transversals of  $\mathcal V$  are the bases of matroid M.



Transversals, themselves, define a matroid.

#### Theorem 5.9.1

If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a family of finite subsets of a ground set V, then the collection of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of independent sets of a matroid  $M = (V, \mathcal{V})$  on V.

- This means that the transversals of  $\mathcal V$  are the bases of matroid M.
- Therefore, all maximal partial transversals of  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$  have the same cardinality!



• Transversals correspond exactly to matchings in bipartite graphs (as we've already strongly hinted at).



- Transversals correspond exactly to matchings in bipartite graphs (as we've already strongly hinted at).
- Given a set system (V, V), with  $V = (V_i : i \in I)$ , we can define a bipartite graph G = (V, I, E) associated with V that has edge set  $\{(v, i) : v \in V, i \in I, v \in V_i\}$ .

# Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Matroid Matroid and representation

- Transversals correspond exactly to matchings in bipartite graphs (as we've already strongly hinted at).
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , we can define a bipartite graph G = (V, I, E) associated with  $\mathcal{V}$  that has edge set  $\{(v, i) : v \in V, i \in I, v \in V_i\}$ .
- A matching in this graph is a set of edges no two of which that have a common endpoint.

# Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

- Transversals correspond exactly to matchings in bipartite graphs (as we've already strongly hinted at).
- Given a set system  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , with  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i : i \in I)$ , we can define a bipartite graph G = (V, I, E) associated with  $\mathcal{V}$  that has edge set  $\{(v, i) : v \in V, i \in I, v \in V_i\}$ .
- A matching in this graph is a set of edges no two of which that have a common endpoint.
- In fact, we easily have

### Lemma 5.9.2

A subset  $T \subseteq V$  is a partial transversal of  $\mathcal{V}$  iff there is a matching in (V, I, E) in which every edge has one endpoint in T.

We say that T is matched into I.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, k<sub>i</sub> = |I<sub>i</sub>| in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., |V<sub>i</sub>| ≥ k<sub>i</sub> (also, recall, V(J) = ∪<sub>j∈J</sub>V<sub>j</sub>).

## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, k<sub>i</sub> = |I<sub>i</sub>| in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., |V<sub>i</sub>| ≥ k<sub>i</sub> (also, recall, V(J) = ∪<sub>j∈J</sub>V<sub>j</sub>).
- We start with partition matroid rank function in the subsequent equations.

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.41)

## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, k<sub>i</sub> = |I<sub>i</sub>| in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., |V<sub>i</sub>| ≥ k<sub>i</sub> (also, recall, V(J) = ∪<sub>j∈J</sub>V<sub>j</sub>).
- We start with partition matroid rank function in the subsequent equations.

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V(I_i)|, |I_i|)$$
(5.42)

## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, k<sub>i</sub> = |I<sub>i</sub>| in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., |V<sub>i</sub>| ≥ k<sub>i</sub> (also, recall, V(J) = ∪<sub>j∈J</sub>V<sub>j</sub>).
- We start with partition matroid rank function in the subsequent equations.

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V(I_i)|, |I_i|)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} \left( \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |A \cap V(I_i)| & \text{if } J_i \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } J_i = \emptyset \end{array} \right\} + |I_i \setminus J_i| \right)$$
(5.43)

## Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

- Recall the partition matroid rank function. Note, k<sub>i</sub> = |I<sub>i</sub>| in the bipartite graph representation, and since a matroid, w.l.o.g., |V<sub>i</sub>| ≥ k<sub>i</sub> (also, recall, V(J) = ∪<sub>j∈J</sub>V<sub>j</sub>).
- We start with partition matroid rank function in the subsequent equations.

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V_i|, k_i)$$
(5.41)  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min(|A \cap V(I_i)|, |I_i|)$ (5.42)  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} \left( \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |A \cap V(I_i)| & \text{if } J_i \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{if } J_i = \emptyset \end{array} \right\} + |I_i \setminus J_i| \right)$ (5.43)  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} (|V(J_i) \cap A| + |I_i \setminus J_i|)$ (5.44)

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversals Matroid Matroid and representation

## ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

Continuing,

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} \left( |V(J_i) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J_i| + |I_i| \right)$$
(5.45)

## ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

Continuing,

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} (|V(J_i) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J_i| + |I_i|)$$
(5.45)  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |V(J) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J| + |I_i| \right)$$
(5.46)

• Continuing,

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} (|V(J_i) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J_i| + |I_i|) \quad (5.45)$$
  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |V(J) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J| + |I_i| \right) \quad (5.46)$$
  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} (|V(J) \cap V(I) \cap A| - |J| + |I|) \quad (5.47)$$

# ... Morphing Partition Matroid Rank

Continuing,

Matroids

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} \left( |V(J_i) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J_i| + |I_i| \right)$$
(5.45)  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |V(J) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J| + |I_i| \right)$$
(5.46)  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap V(I) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right)$$
(5.47)  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right)$$
(5.48)

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

Continuing,

$$r(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \min_{J_i \subseteq I_i} \left( |V(J_i) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J_i| + |I_i| \right) \quad (5.45)$$
  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |V(J) \cap V(I_i) \cap A| - |I_i \cap J| + |I_i| \right) \quad (5.46)$$
  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap V(I) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right) \quad (5.47)$$
  
$$= \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right) \quad (5.48)$$

• In fact, this bottom (more general) expression is the expression for the rank of a transversal matroid.

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014

F52/58 (pg.201/223)

## Partial Transversals Are Matroids

In fact, we have

#### Theorem 5.9.3

Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\ell)$  be a subset system. Let  $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

#### Proof.

## Partial Transversals Are Matroids

In fact, we have

### Theorem 5.9.3

Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\ell)$  be a subset system. Let  $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

#### Proof.

 We note that Ø ∈ I since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of V, thus (I1') holds.

## Partial Transversals Are Matroids

In fact, we have

### Theorem 5.9.3

Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\ell)$  be a subset system. Let  $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

#### Proof.

- We note that Ø ∈ I since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of V, thus (I1') holds.
- We already saw that if T is a partial transversal of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and if  $T' \subseteq T$ , then T' is also a partial transversal. So (I2') holds.

## Partial Transversals Are Matroids

In fact, we have

### Theorem 5.9.3

Let  $(V, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{V} = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\ell)$  be a subset system. Let  $I = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $(V, \mathcal{I})$  is a matroid.

#### Proof.

- We note that Ø ∈ I since the empty set is a transversal of the empty subfamily of V, thus (I1') holds.
- We already saw that if T is a partial transversal of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and if  $T' \subseteq T$ , then T' is also a partial transversal. So (I2') holds.
- Suppose that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are partial transversals of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $|T_1| < |T_2|$ . Exercise: show that (I3') holds.



• Transversal matroid has rank

$$r(A) = \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right)$$
(5.49)



• Transversal matroid has rank

$$r(A) = \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right)$$
(5.49)

• Therefore, this function is submodular.



## Transversal Matroid Rank

• Transversal matroid has rank

$$r(A) = \min_{J \subseteq I} \left( |V(J) \cap A| - |J| + |I| \right)$$
(5.49)

- Therefore, this function is submodular.
- Note that it is a minimum over a set of modular functions. Is this true in general? Exercise:



• A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| - 1$ ).



- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| 1$ ).
- There is no reason in a matroid such an A could not consist of a single element.



- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| 1$ ).
- There is no reason in a matroid such an A could not consist of a single element.
- Such an  $\{a\}$  is called a loop.



- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| 1$ ).
- There is no reason in a matroid such an A could not consist of a single element.
- Such an  $\{a\}$  is called a loop.
- In a matric (i.e., linear) matroid, the only such loop is the value 0, as all non-zero vectors have rank 1. The 0 can appear > 1 time with different indices, as can a self loop in a graph appear on different nodes.



- A circuit in a matroids is well defined, a subset  $A \subseteq E$  is circuit if it is an inclusionwise minimally dependent set (i.e., if r(A) < |A| and for any  $a \in A$ ,  $r(A \setminus \{a\}) = |A| 1$ ).
- There is no reason in a matroid such an A could not consist of a single element.
- Such an  $\{a\}$  is called a loop.
- In a matric (i.e., linear) matroid, the only such loop is the value 0, as all non-zero vectors have rank 1. The 0 can appear > 1 time with different indices, as can a self loop in a graph appear on different nodes.
- Note, we also say that two elements s,t are said to be parallel if  $\{s,t\}$  is a circuit.



## Representable

## Definition 5.10.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively on ground sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\pi: V_1 \to V_2$  which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).



## Representable

## Definition 5.10.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively on ground sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\pi: V_1 \to V_2$  which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

Let 𝔽 be any field (such as 𝔅, 𝔅, or some finite field 𝔅, such as GF(p) where p is prime (such as GF(2)).



## Representable

## Definition 5.10.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively on ground sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\pi: V_1 \to V_2$  which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

- Let 𝔽 be any field (such as 𝔅, 𝔅, or some finite field 𝔅, such as GF(p) where p is prime (such as GF(2)).
- We can more generally define matroids on a field.


## Representable

### Definition 5.10.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively on ground sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\pi: V_1 \to V_2$  which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

- Let 𝔽 be any field (such as 𝔅, 𝔅, or some finite field 𝔅, such as GF(p) where p is prime (such as GF(2)).
- We can more generally define matroids on a field.

#### Definition 5.10.2 (linear matroids on a field)

Let X be an  $n \times m$  matrix and  $E = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ , where  $\mathbf{X}_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$  for some field, and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of subsets of E such that the columns of X are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Prof. Jeff Bilmes

EE596b/Spring 2014/Submodularity - Lecture 5 - April 14th, 2014



## Representable

### Definition 5.10.1 (Matroid isomorphism)

Two matroids  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively on ground sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if there is a bijection  $\pi: V_1 \to V_2$  which preserves independence (equivalently, rank, circuits, and so on).

- Let 𝔽 be any field (such as 𝔅, 𝔅, or some finite field 𝔅, such as GF(p) where p is prime (such as GF(2)).
- We can more generally define matroids on a field.

### Definition 5.10.3 (representable (as a linear matroid))

Any matroid isomorphic to a linear matroid on a field is called representable over  $\mathbb{F}$ 



• Piff and Welsh in 1970, and Adkin in 1972 proved an important theorem about representability of transversal matroids.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid Matroid and representation

1111

# Representability of Transversal Matroids

• Piff and Welsh in 1970, and Adkin in 1972 proved an important theorem about representability of transversal matroids.

Matroid Examples Matroid Rank Partition Matroid System of Distinct Reps Transversals Transversal Matroid

• In particular:

### Theorem 5.10.4

Transversal matroids are representable over all finite fields of sufficiently large cardinality, and are representable over any infinite field.

Matroid and representation



## Converse: Representability of Transversal Matroids

The converse is not true, however.

### Example 5.10.5

Let  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  be a ground set and let  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  be a set system where  $\mathcal{I}$  is all subsets of V of cardinality  $\leq 2$  except for the pairs  $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}.$ 



## Converse: Representability of Transversal Matroids

The converse is not true, however.

### Example 5.10.5

Let  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  be a ground set and let  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  be a set system where  $\mathcal{I}$  is all subsets of V of cardinality  $\leq 2$  except for the pairs  $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}.$ 

• It can be shown that this is a matroid and is representable.



## Converse: Representability of Transversal Matroids

The converse is not true, however.

### Example 5.10.5

Let  $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  be a ground set and let  $M = (V, \mathcal{I})$  be a set system where  $\mathcal{I}$  is all subsets of V of cardinality  $\leq 2$  except for the pairs  $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\}.$ 

- It can be shown that this is a matroid and is representable.
- However, this matroid is not isomorphic to any transversal matroid.