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## Logistics <br> II

## Cumulative Outstanding Reading

- Read chapters 1 and 2, and sections 3.1-3.2 from Fujishige's book.
- Good references for today: Schrijver-2003, Oxley-1992/2011, Welsh-1973, Goemans-2010, Cunningham-1984, Edmonds-1969.


## Announcements, Assignments, and Reminders

- Weekly Office Hours: Wednesdays, 5:00-5:50, or by skype or google hangout (email me).


## Logistics <br> Class Road Map - IT-I

- L1 (3/31): Motivation, Applications, \& Basic Definitions
- L2: (4/2): Applications, Basic Definitions, Properties
- L3: More examples and properties (e.g., closure properties), and examples, spanning trees
- L4: proofs of equivalent definitions, independence, start matroids
- L5: matroids, basic definitions and examples
- L6: More on matroids, System of Distinct Reps, Transversals, Transversal Matroid, Matroid and representation
- L7: Dual Matroids, other matroid properties, Combinatorial Geometries
- L8: Combinatorial Geometries, matroids and greedy, Polyhedra, Matroid Polytopes,
- L9: From Matroid Polytopes to Polymatroids.
- L10: Polymatroids and Submodularity


## Maximum weight independent set via greedy weighted rank

## Theorem 10.2.6

Let $M=(V, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid, with rank function $r$, then for any weight function $w \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{V}$, there exists a chain of sets $U_{1} \subset U_{2} \subset \cdots \subset U_{n} \subseteq V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{w(I) \mid I \in \mathcal{I}\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{10.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i} \geq 0$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{1}_{U_{i}} \tag{10.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polytope Equivalence (Summarizing the above)

- For each $I \in \mathcal{I}$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$, we can form the incidence vector $\mathbf{1}_{I}$.
- Taking the convex hull, we get the independent set polytope, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {ind. set }}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\{\mathbf{1}_{I}\right\}\right\} \tag{10.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Now take the rank function $r$ of $M$, and define the following polyhedron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq r(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{10.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 10.2.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}^{+}=P_{\text {ind. set }} \tag{10.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $P$-basis of $x$ given compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

## Definition 10.2.4 (subvector)

$y$ is a subvector of $x$ if $y \leq x$ (meaning $y(e) \leq x(e)$ for all $e \in E$ ).

## Definition 10.2.5 ( $P$-basis)

Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, a subvector $y$ of $x$ is called a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ maximal in $P$.
In other words, $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if $y$ is a maximal $P$-contained subvector of $x$.

Here, by $y$ being "maximal", we mean that there exists no $z>y$ (more precisely, no $z \geq y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e}$ for some $e \in E$ and $\epsilon>0$ ) having the properties of $y$ (the properties of $y$ being: in $P$, and a subvector of $x$ ). In still other words: $y$ is a $P$-basis of $x$ if:
(1) $y \leq x(y$ is a subvector of $x)$; and
(2) $y \in P$ and $y+\epsilon \mathbf{1}_{e} \notin P$ for all $e \in E$ where $y(e)<x(e)$ and $\forall \epsilon>0$ ( $y$ is maximal $P$-contained).

## Logisitics

## A vector form of rank

- Recall the definition of rank from a matroid $M=(E, \mathcal{I})$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(A)=\max \{|I|: I \subseteq A, I \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{10.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- vector rank: Given a compact set $P \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{+}^{E}$, we can define a form of "vector rank" relative to this $P$ in the following way: Given an $x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}$, we define the vector rank, relative to $P$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P) \tag{10.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \leq x$ is componentwise inequality $\left(y_{i} \leq x_{i}, \forall i\right)$.

- If $\mathcal{B}_{x}$ is the set of $P$-bases of $x$, than $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max _{y \in \mathcal{B}_{x}} y(E)$.
- If $x \in P$, then $\operatorname{rank}(x)=x(E)(x$ is its own unique self $P$-basis).
- In general, this might be hard to compute and/or have ill-defined properties. We next look at an object that restrains and cultivates this form of rank.


## Polymatroidal polyhedron (or a "polymatroid")

## Definition 10.2.4 (polymatroid)

A polymatroid is a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ satisfying
(1) $0 \in P$
(2) If $y \leq x \in P$ then $y \in P$ (called down monotone).
(3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, any maximal vector $y \in P$ with $y \leq x$ (i.e., any $P$-basis of $x$ ), has the same component sum $y(E)$

## Logistics <br> Matroid and Polymatroid: side-by-side

A Matroid is:
(1) a set system $(E, \mathcal{I})$
(2) empty-set containing $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$
(3) down closed, $\emptyset \subseteq I^{\prime} \subseteq I \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow I^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$.
(1) any maximal set $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$, bounded by another set $A$, has the same matroid rank (any maximal independent subset $I \subseteq A$ has same size $|I|)$.
A Polymatroid is:
(1) a compact set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$
(2) zero containing, $\mathbf{0} \in P$
(3) down monotone, $0 \leq y \leq x \in P \Rightarrow y \in P$
(1) any maximal vector $y$ in $P$, bounded by another vector $x$, has the same vector rank (any maximal independent subvector $y \leq x$ has same sum $y(E)$ ).

## Polymatroid function and its polyhedron.

## Definition 10.2.4

A polymatroid function is a real-valued function $f$ defined on subsets of $E$ which is normalized, non-decreasing, and submodular. That is we have
(1) $f(\emptyset)=0$ (normalized)
(2) $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for any $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ (monotone non-decreasing)
(3) $f(A \cup B)+f(A \cap B) \leq f(A)+f(B)$ for any $A, B \subseteq E$ (submodular)
We can define the polyhedron $P_{f}^{+}$associated with a polymatroid function as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{f}^{+} & =\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}: y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\}  \tag{10.25}\\
& =\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: y \geq 0, y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{10.26}
\end{align*}
$$

## Associated polyhedron with a polymatroid function

- Consider the asymmetric graph cut function on the simple chain graph $v_{1}-v_{2}-v_{3}$. That is, $f(S)=|\{(v, s) \in E(G): v \in V, s \in S\}|$ is count of any edges within $S$ or between $S$ and $V \backslash S$, so that $\delta(S)=f(S)+f(V \backslash S)-f(V)$ is the standard graph cut.
- Observe: $P_{f}^{+}$(at two views):


- which axis is which?


## Associated polyhedron with a polymatroid function

- Consider modular function $w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$as $w=(1,1.5,2)^{\top}$, and then the submodular function $f(S)=\sqrt{w(S)}$.
- Observe: $P_{f}^{+}$(at two views):


- which axis is which?


## Logistics

Review
\|ll\|\|\|!

## A polymatroid vs. a polymatroid function's polyhedron

- Summarizing the above, we have:
- Given a polymatroid function $f$, its associated polytope is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}^{+}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}: y(A) \leq f(A) \text { for all } A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{10.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

- We also have the definition of a polymatroidal polytope $P$ (compact subset, zero containing, down-monotone, and $\forall x$ any maximal independent subvector $y \leq x$ has same component sum $y(E)$ ).
- Is there any relationship between these two polytopes?
- In the next theorem, we show that any $P_{f}^{+}$-basis has the same component sum, when $f$ is a polymatroid function, and $P_{f}^{+}$satisfies the other properties so that $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid.


## A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## Theorem 10.2.4

Let $f$ be a polymatroid function defined on subsets of $E$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, and any $P_{f}^{+}$-basis $y^{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ of $x$, the component sum of $y^{x}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{x}(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x) & =\max \left(y(E): y \leq x, y \in P_{f}^{+}\right) \\
& =\min (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E) \tag{10.34}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid, since r.h.s. is constant w.r.t. $y^{x}$.
By taking $B=\operatorname{supp}(x)$ (so elements $E \backslash B$ are zero in $x$ ), and for $b \in B$, $x(b)$ is big enough, the r.h.s. $\min$ has solution $A^{*}=E \backslash B$. We recover submodular function from the polymatroid polyhedron via the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(B)=\max \left\{y(B): y \in P_{f}^{+}\right\} \tag{10.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we will ultimately see a number of important consequences of this theorem (other than just that $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid)
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## Polymatroid


A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## Proof.

- Clearly $0 \in P_{f}^{+}$since $f$ is non-negative.
- Also, for any $y \in P_{f}^{+}$then any $x<=y$ is also such that $x \in P_{f}^{+}$. So, $P_{f}^{+}$is down-monotone.
- Now suppose that we are given an $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, and maximal $y^{x} \in P_{f}^{+}$ with $y^{x} \leq x$ (i.e., $y^{x}$ is a $P_{f}^{+}$-basis of $x$ ).
- Goal is to show that any such $y^{x}$ has $y^{x}(E)=$ const, dependent only on $x$ and also $f$ (which defines the polytope) but not dependent on $y^{x}$, the particular $P$-basis.
- Doing so will thus establish that $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid.

A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## proof continued.

- First trivial case: could have $y^{x}=x$, which happens if $x(A) \leq f(A), \forall A \subseteq E$ (i.e., $x \in P_{f}^{+}$strictly). In such case,

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.1}\\
& =x(E)+\min (f(E \backslash A)-x(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.2}\\
& =x(E)+\min (f(A)-x(A): A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.3}\\
& =x(E) \tag{10.4}
\end{align*}
$$

## Polymatroid

## 

A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## proof continued.

- 2nd trivial case: when $x(A)>f(A), \forall A \subseteq E$ (i.e., $x \notin P_{f}^{+}$strictly),
- Then for any order $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of the elements and $A_{i} \triangleq\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i}\right)$, we have $x\left(a_{i}\right) \geq f\left(a_{i}\right) \geq f\left(a_{i} \mid A_{i-1}\right)$, the second inequality by submodularity. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\min & (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.5}\\
& =x(E)+\min (f(A)-x(A): A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.6}\\
& =x(E)+\min \left(\sum_{i} f\left(a_{i} \mid A_{i-1}\right)-\sum_{i} x\left(a_{i}\right): A \subseteq E\right)  \tag{10.7}\\
& =x(E)+\min (\sum_{i} \underbrace{\left(f\left(a_{i} \mid A_{i-1}\right)-x\left(a_{i}\right)\right)}_{\leq 0}: A \subseteq E)  \tag{10.8}\\
& =x(E)+f(E)-x(E)=f(E) \tag{10.9}
\end{align*}
$$

\section*{ーートーーー

## ーートーーー <br> A polymatroid function＇s polyhedron is a polymatroid．

## proof continued．

－Assume neither trivial case．Because $y^{x} \in P_{f}^{+}$，we have that $y^{x}(A) \leq f(A)$ for all $A \subseteq E$ ．
－We show that the constant is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x}(E)=\min (x(A)+f(E \backslash A): A \subseteq E) \tag{10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

－For any $P_{f}^{+}$－basis $y^{x}$ of $x$ ，and any $A \subseteq E$ ，we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{x}(E) & =y^{x}(A)+y^{x}(E \backslash A)  \tag{10.11}\\
& \leq x(A)+f(E \backslash A) . \tag{10.12}
\end{align*}
$$

This follows since $y^{x} \leq x$ and since $y^{x} \in P_{f}^{+}$．
－Given one $A$ where equality holds，the above min result follows．

# polymatroid function＇s polyhedron is a polymatroid． 

## proof continued．

－For any $y \in P_{f}^{+}$，call a set $B \subseteq E$ tight if $y(B)=f(B)$ ．The union （and intersection）of tight sets $B, C$ is again tight，since

$$
\begin{align*}
f(B)+f(C) & =y(B)+y(C)  \tag{10.13}\\
& =y(B \cap C)+y(B \cup C)  \tag{10.14}\\
& \leq f(B \cap C)+f(B \cup C)  \tag{10.15}\\
& \leq f(B)+f(C) \tag{10.16}
\end{align*}
$$

which requires equality everywhere above．
－Because $y(B) \leq f(B), \forall B$ ，this means $y(B \cap C)=f(B \cap C)$ and $y(B \cup C)=f(B \cup C)$ ，so both also are tight．
－For $y \in P_{f}^{+}$，it will be ultimately useful to define this lattice family of tight sets： $\mathcal{D}(y) \triangleq\{A: A \subseteq E, y(A)=f(A)\}$ ．

## nemm

## A polymatroid function's polyhedron is a polymatroid.

## proof continued.

- Also, define sat $(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup\{T: T \in \mathcal{D}(y)\}$
- Consider again a $P_{f}^{+}$-basis $y^{x}$ (so maximal).
- Given a $e \in E$, either $y^{x}(e)$ is cut off due to $x$ (so $y^{x}(e)=x(e)$ ) or $e$ is saturated by $f$, meaning it is an element of some tight set and $e \in \operatorname{sat}\left(y^{x}\right)$.
- Let $E \backslash A=\operatorname{sat}\left(y^{x}\right)$ be the union of all such tight sets (which is also tight, so $\left.y^{x}(E \backslash A)=f(E \backslash A)\right)$.
- Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{x}(E)=y^{x}(A)+y^{x}(E \backslash A)=x(A)+f(E \backslash A) \tag{10.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- So we identified the $A$ to be the elements that are non-tight, and achieved the min, as desired.
- So, when $f$ is a polymatroid function, $P_{f}^{+}$is a polymatroid.
- Is it the case that, conversely, for any polymatroid $P$, there is an associated polymatroidal function $f$ such that $P=P_{f}^{+}$?


## Theorem 10.3.1

For any polymatroid $P$ (compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, zero containing, down-monotone, and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ any maximal independent subvector $y \leq x$ has same component sum $y(E)=\operatorname{rank}(x)$ ), there is a polymatroid function $f: 2^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (normalized, monotone non-decreasing, submodular) such that $P=P_{f}^{+}$where $P_{f}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{E}: x \geq 0, x(A) \leq f(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\}$.

## First, a bit on $\mathcal{D}(y)$

Recall the definition of the set of tight sets at $y \in P_{f}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(y) \triangleq\{A: A \subseteq E, y(A)=f(A)\} \tag{10.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 10.3.2

For any $y \in P_{f}^{+}$, with $f$ a polymatroid function, then $\mathcal{D}(y)$ is closed under union and intersection.

## Proof.

We have already proven this as part of Theorem 9.4.5
Also recall the definition of $\operatorname{sat}(y)$, the maximal set of tight elements relative to $y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sat}(y) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigcup\{T: T \in \mathcal{D}(y)\} \tag{10.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polymatroid

## Join $\vee$ and meet $\wedge$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

- For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$, define vectors $x \wedge y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ and $x \vee y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ such that, for all $e \in E$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (x \vee y)(e)=\max (x(e), y(e))  \tag{10.20}\\
& (x \wedge y)(e)=\min (x(e), y(e)) \tag{10.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
x \vee y \triangleq\left(\max \left(x\left(e_{1}\right), y\left(e_{1}\right)\right), \max \left(x\left(e_{2}\right), y\left(e_{2}\right)\right), \ldots, \max \left(x\left(e_{n}\right), y\left(e_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

and similarly

$$
x \wedge y \triangleq\left(\min \left(x\left(e_{1}\right), y\left(e_{1}\right)\right), \min \left(x\left(e_{2}\right), y\left(e_{2}\right)\right), \ldots, \min \left(x\left(e_{n}\right), y\left(e_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

- From this, we can define things like an lattices, and other constructs.


## Vector rank, $\operatorname{rank}(x)$, is submodular

- Recall that the matroid rank function is submodular.
- The vector rank function $\operatorname{rank}(x)$ also satisfies a form of submodularity.


## Theorem 10.3.3 (vector rank and submodularity)

Let $P$ be a polymatroid polytope. The vector rank function
$\operatorname{rank}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\max (y(E): y \leq x, y \in P)$ satisfies, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rank}(u)+\operatorname{rank}(v) \geq \operatorname{rank}(u \vee v)+\operatorname{rank}(u \wedge v) \tag{10.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Polymatroid

## Vector rank $\operatorname{rank}(x)$ is submodular, proof

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.3.

- Let $a$ be a $P$-basis of $u \wedge v$, so $\operatorname{rank}(u \wedge v)=a(E)$.
- By the polymatroid property, $\exists$ an independent $b \in P$ such that: $a \leq b \leq u \vee v$ and also such that $\operatorname{rank}(b)=b(E)=\operatorname{rank}(u \vee v)$.
- Given $e \in E$, if $a(e)$ is maximal due to $P$, then then $a(e)=b(e) \leq \min (u(e), v(e))$.
If $a(e)$ is maximal due to $(u \wedge v)(e)$, then $a(e)=\min (u(e), v(e)) \leq b(e)$.
Therefore, $a=b \wedge(u \wedge v)$.
- Since $a=b \wedge(u \wedge v)$ and since $b \leq u \vee v$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=b+b \wedge u \wedge v=b \wedge u+b \wedge v \tag{10.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, consider each case where either $b$ is the minimum, or $u$ is minimum with $b \leq v$, or $v$ is minimum with $b \leq u$.

## proof of Theorem 10.3.3.

- But $b \wedge u$ and $b \wedge v$ are independent subvectors of $u$ and $v$ respectively, so $(b \wedge u)(E) \leq \operatorname{rank}(u)$ and $(b \wedge v)(E) \leq \operatorname{rank}(v)$.
- Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{rank}(u \wedge v)+\operatorname{rank}(u \vee v) & =a(E)+b(E)  \tag{10.24}\\
& =(b \wedge u)(E)+(b \wedge v)(E)  \tag{10.25}\\
& \leq \operatorname{rank}(u)+\operatorname{rank}(v) \tag{10.26}
\end{align*}
$$

A polymatroid function's polyhedron vs. a polymatroid.

- Note the remarkable similarity between the proof of Theorem 10.3.3 and the proof of Theorem ?? that the standard matroid rank function is submodular.
- Next, we prove Theorem 10.3.1, that any polymatroid polytope $P$ has a polymatroid function $f$ such that $P=P_{f}^{+}$.
- Given this result, we can conclude that a polymatroid is really an extremely natural polyhedral generalization of a matroid. This was all realized by Jack Edmonds in the mid 1960s (and published in 1969 in his landmark paper "Submodular Functions, Matroids, and Certain Polyhedra").


## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1.

- We are given a polymatroid $P$.
- Define $\alpha_{\text {max }} \triangleq \max \{x(E): x \in P\}$, and note that $\alpha_{\text {max }}>0$ when $P$ is non-empty, and $\alpha_{\text {max }}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\infty \mathbf{1}_{E}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{E}\right)$.
- Hence, for any $x \in P, x(e) \leq \alpha_{\text {max }}, \forall e \in E$.
- Define a function $f: 2^{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as, for any $A \subseteq E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A) \triangleq \operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A}\right) \tag{10.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Then $f$ is submodular since

$$
\begin{align*}
f(A)+f(B) & =\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A}\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{B}\right)  \tag{10.28}\\
& \geq \operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A} \vee \alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{B}\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A} \wedge \alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{B}\right) \tag{10.29}
\end{align*}
$$

$=\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A \cup B}\right)+\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B}\right)$
$=f(A \cup B)+f(A \cap B)$

## Polymatroid

## 

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1.

- Moreover, we have that $f$ is non-negative, normalized with $f(\emptyset)=0$, and monotone non-decreasing (since rank is monotone).
- Hence, $f$ is a polymatroid function.
- Consider the polytope $P_{f}^{+}$defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}: x(A) \leq f(A), \forall A \subseteq E\right\} \tag{10.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Given an $x \in P$, then for any $A \subseteq E$,
$x(A) \leq \max \left\{z(E): z \in P, z \leq \alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A}\right\}=\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{A}\right)=f(A)$, therefore $x \in P_{f}^{+}$.
- Hence, $P \subseteq P_{f}^{+}$.
- We will next show that $P_{f}^{+} \subseteq P$ to complete the proof.


## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1.

- Let $x \in P_{f}^{+}$be chosen arbitrarily (goal is to show that $x \in P$ ).
- Suppose $x \notin P$. Then, choose $y$ to be a $P$-basis of $x$ that maximizes the number of $y$ elements strictly less than the corresponding $x$ element. I.e., that maximizes $|N(y)|$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(y)=\{e \in E: y(e)<x(e)\} \tag{10.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Choose $w$ between $y$ and $x$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \leq w \triangleq(y+x) / 2 \leq x \tag{10.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $y$ is also a $P$-basis of $w$.

- Hence, $\operatorname{rank}(x)=\operatorname{rank}(w)$, and the set of $P$-bases of $w$ are also $P$-bases of $x$.


## Polymatroid

## 

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1.

- For any $A \subseteq E$, define $x_{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$ as

$$
x_{A}(e)= \begin{cases}x(e) & \text { if } e \in A  \tag{10.35}\\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

note this is an analogous definition to $\mathbf{1}_{A}$ but for a non-unity vector.

- Now, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(N(y))<w(N(y)) \leq f(N(y))=\operatorname{rank}\left(\alpha_{\max } \mathbf{1}_{N(y)}\right) \tag{10.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last inequality follows since $w \leq x \in P_{f}^{+}$, and $y \leq w$.

- Thus, $y \wedge x_{N(y)}$ is not a $P$-basis of $w \wedge x_{N(y)}$ since, over $N(y)$, it is neither tight at $w$ nor tight at the rank (i.e., not a maximal independent subvector on $N(y)$ ).


## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1

## Proof of Theorem 10.3.1.

- We can extend $y \wedge x_{N(y)}$ to be a $P$-basis of $w \wedge x_{N(y)}$ since $y \wedge x_{N(y)}<w \wedge x_{N(y)}$.
- This $P$-basis, in turn, can be extended to be a $P$-basis $\hat{y}$ of $w \& x$.
- Now, we have $\hat{y}(N(y))>y(N(y))$,
- and also that $\hat{y}(E)=y(E)$ (since both are $P$-bases),
- hence $\hat{y}(e)<y(e)$ for some $e \notin N(y)$.
- Thus, $\hat{y}$ is a base of $x$, which violates the maximality of $|N(y)|$.
- This contradiction means that we must have had $x \in P$.
- Therefore, $P_{f}^{+}=P$.


## 

## More on polymatroids

## Theorem 10.3.4

A polymatroid can equivalently be defined as a pair $(E, P)$ where $E$ is a finite ground set and $P \subseteq R_{+}^{E}$ is a compact non-empty set of independent vectors such that
(1) every subvector of an independent vector is independent (if $x \in P$ and $y \leq x$ then $y \in P$, i.e., down closed)
(2) If $u, v \in P$ (i.e., are independent) and $u(E)<v(E)$, then there exists a vector $w \in P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u<w \leq u \vee v \tag{10.37}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Corollary 10.3.5

The independent vectors of a polymatroid form a convex polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{E}$.

- The next slide comes from lecture 5 .


## Matroids by bases

In general, besides independent sets and rank functions, there are other equivalent ways to characterize matroids.

## Theorem 10.3.1 (Matroid (by bases))

Let $E$ be a set and $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonempty collection of subsets of $E$. Then the following are equivalent.
(1) $\mathcal{B}$ is the collection of bases of a matroid;
(2) if $B, B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $x \in B^{\prime} \backslash B$, then $B^{\prime}-x+y \in \mathcal{B}$ for some $y \in B \backslash B^{\prime}$.
(3) If $B, B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}$, and $x \in B^{\prime} \backslash B$, then $B-y+x \in \mathcal{B}$ for some $y \in B \backslash B^{\prime}$.

Properties 2 and 3 are called "exchange properties."
Proof here is omitted but think about this for a moment in terms of linear spaces and matrices, and (alternatively) spanning trees.

## , mimumunumb

## More on polymatroids

For any compact set $P, b$ is a base of $P$ if it is a maximal subvector within $P$. Recall the bases of matroids. In fact, we can define a polymatroid via vector bases (analogous to how a matroid can be defined via matroid bases).

## Theorem 10.3.6

A polymatroid can equivalently be defined as a pair $(E, P)$ where $E$ is a finite ground set and $P \subseteq R_{+}^{E}$ is a compact non-empty set of independent vectors such that
(1) every subvector of an independent vector is independent (if $x \in P$ and $y \leq x$ then $y \in P$, i.e., down closed)
(2) if $b, c$ are bases of $P$ and $d$ is such that $b \wedge c<d<b$, then there exists an $f$, with $d \wedge c<f \leq c$ such that $d \vee f$ is a base of $P$
(3) All of the bases of $P$ have the same rank.

Note, all three of the above are required for a polymatroid (a matroid analogy would require the equivalent of only the first two).

